A Power-Law of Death

Frank R. Baumgartner Richard J. Richardson Distinguished Professor of Political Science University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Frankb@unc.edu

> Georgetown Public Policy Institute 26 March 2012

A Pareto-Distribution

- Across geographic units, executions are distributed as Pareto noted that wealth is distributed: A small number of the units have a large percentage of the executions.
- Pareto suggested a model by which the "rich get richer" – a proportionate growth model.
- Why do some jurisdictions never or rarely impose the death penalty while others do so more by several orders of magnitude?

Plan of Talk

- An informal discussion of proportionategrowth models
- Background on the death penalty
- Core of the presentation: geographic distribution of executions
- My goal: to get your help in explaining an interesting empirical puzzle, one with substantive importance for equal justice

Proportionate Growth with a Random Start

- Assume a random start, and different units begin with different sizes (or histories)
- Subsequent growth is proportionate to size.
 - Think: web sites with more prominence continue to get more links to them, increasing their prominence
 - Big companies may grow faster than smaller ones, leveraging their advantages in scale
 - The rich get richer

How might this apply to the development of a "local legal culture"?

Six actors in the US system

- Prosecutor
- Defense (Public Defender's Office, funded by state)
- Juries
- Judges
- State appellate courts
- US circuit courts
- (US Supreme court as well, but affects all actors equally)

Assume no executions so far in your jurisdiction

- Next heinous murder occurs
- Probably not the most heinous in local history
 Therefore does not merit more severe punishment
- Prosecutor has no confidence that:
 - He has the staff experience to do it
 - Defense attorneys cannot fight successfully
 - Juries will go for it
 - Judges will allow it
 - Appellate courts will sanction it

Assume some previous executions

- Next heinous murder occurs
- It may well be more heinous than some previous case which led to execution
- Prosecutor has confidence that:
 - He has the staff experience to do it (and maybe a younger lawyer who needs a promotion)
 - Juries will go for it
 - Public Defender is under-funded and ill-equipped
 - Judges will allow it (and keep the Defender weak)
 - Appellate courts will sanction it

Local norms developing independently

- Baseline factors:
 - Former slave states
 - High minority population
- But why Houston and not, say, New Orleans?
- Random start, then self-reinforcement
- If we can show this it excludes "equal justice" as a factor, which could be unconstitutional

Empirical Expectations

- Time elapsed between executions then decline with each successful case
- Executions per year should be predicted by number of previous executions, more than by number of murders or the crime rate
- Patterns should not be predictable based on simple geography or slave-state status
- Should hold at all levels of scale
- Pattern should move from relatively random (murders) to relatively extreme as we move through the stages of the process: capital charges brought, sentences, executions
- Outliers should always be present but may not always be the same in different historical periods

Some background facts

- 1972: State laws ruled unconstitutional
- 1976: 37 new state laws pass constitutional review by Supreme Court
- 1977: Gary Gilmore, a volunteer, shot by firing squad in Utah
- NJ, NM, IL recently have become first states in US history to VOTE to abolish.
- Current trends all toward reduction
- Inflection: late 1990s

More facts

- Since 1976, about 20,000 homicides per year, or 720,000 homicides
- Same period: 1,239 executions
- Homicides > executions: ~1.7 in 1,000
- Homicides > death sentences: ~ 1 in 100
- Death sentences > executions: 20 percent
- Other outcomes: 65 percent reversed on appeal, others die in prison, are commuted. About 5 percent are EXONERATED (freed).

Executions in the US, 1800-2002



Death Sentences, Executions, and the Size of Death Row, 1930-2006



Number of Death Sentences



Net Public Opinion, 1953-2004



Homicides: decline from 24,500 in 1993 to 15,500 in 2000



NB: France, UK, approx 400 per year

OK, finally to the point

• Some maps

• Some data

 Some ideas about what might explain the patterns observed








































Five levels of scale, same pattern

- ~3,000 counties in the US
- Counties within individual states
- The 50 states
- The 12 federal judicial circuits
- ~200 countries of the world
- Patterns are not identical and some are more exponential than Paretian, but all are extreme





If all cases were random



If all cases were equal

Frequency Distribution

Log-Log Presentation





Percent Minority Population



These trends also hold for individual states

• The following slides show similar analyses for the state with by far the greatest number of executions, Texas, and for North Carolina.

 We can have greater confidence in the national analysis since it is based on a larger number of observations, but the pattern also holds within individual states.







Note: 74 of the 100 counties in North Carolina have had no executions.



Executions by State



Executions Rates by State







Geographic Boundaries

of United States Courts of Appeals and United States District Courts



Executions Rates by Federal Judicial Circuit





These trends also hold for countries across the world

- Since 2007, Amnesty International has published an annual review of capital punishment around the world: <u>http://www.amnesty.org/en/death-</u> <u>penalty/numbers</u>
- Where they present a range, I use the lowest number in order to be conservative.
- Following charts combine 2007 through 2010.



Executions by Country, 2007-2010









Time elapsed between executions, US





Excludes the first 7 executions, which had long delays.







Executions vs. Previous Executions by Region (N, S, TX)



No. of Previous Executions

Executions vs. Previous Executions by Region (without TX)



Are the stages progressively more skewed?

- For North Carolina, I have data from the state indigent defense services database of all murder cases from approx 1977 to 2011.
- Following slides show progressively more skew in the distributions as we move from:
- Murders
- Death sentences
- Executions






Murders are not close to a log-log distribution but executions are



Murders, Sentences, and Executions are imperfectly correlated



Death Sentences and Executions



Death Sentences and Executions



Note: Modern era shows different geographic patterns than previous eras

- Early period: very common in large northern cities as well as in the South
- Modern period: almost entirely limited to the slave states
- Strong "states' rights" reaction to Supreme Court decisions from the 1960s and 1970s
- Very little historic continuity in these patterns
- So it is possible to "break the cycle"
- Nothing inevitable about certain counties rather than others having most of the executions

Top Executing Counties, 1600 to 1799



Top Executing Counties, 1800 to 1899



Top Executing Counties, 1900 to 1972



Little correlation from early 20th c. to



This is slide #83

Thank you for your patience

Frankb@unc.edu

www.unc.edu/~fbaum