Purchasing Privilege?

Driver Identity, Status Cues, and Police Suspicion

May 26, 2023

Appendix

The following tables provide robustness checks and additional data-related information for our article.

Table A1. Race and Gender of Drivers of Various Vehicle Types.

| | Male | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| | Black | Latinx | White | Black | Latinx | White | Total |
| Passenger Car | 362,950 | 667,341 | 1,116,795 | 251,632 | 399,253 | 942,204 | 3,740,175 |
| Pickup Truck | 105,054 | 677,541 | 1,531,877 | 12,486 | 99,388 | 249,516 | 2,675,862 |
| SUV | 108,611 | 351,391 | 679,346 | 81,198 | 246,820 | 708,165 | 2,175,531 |
| Motorcycle | 3,728 | 5,885 | 29,552 | 161 | 120 | 1,001 | 40,447 |
| Utility Van | 1,748 | 4,184 | 7,094 | 158 | 204 | 699 | 14,087 |
| Bus | 859 | 4,681 | 2,112 | 345 | 265 | 616 | 8,878 |
| Tractor-Trailer | 159,929 | 614,863 | 508,513 | 3,568 | 6,350 | 14,122 | 1,307,345 |
| Total N | 742,879 | 2,325,886 | 3,875,289 | 349,548 | 752,400 | 1,916,323 | 9,962,325 |

Note: These numbers correspond to the percentages in Table 3 in the main text.

Table A2. Replication of Table 4 with Original Vehicle Type Categories.

| | Coef. (St. Error) | Odds-Ratio |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| Passenger Car (Passenger Car) | 0.482*** (0.006) | 1.619 |
| Pickup Truck (Pickup Truck) | -0.087*** (0.007) | 0.917 |
| Passenger Van (SUV) | -0.122*** (0.027) | 0.885 |
| Van (SUV) | -0.092*** (0.020) | 0.912 |
| Jeep (SUV) | $0.058^* (0.033)$ | 1.060 |
| Motorcycle (Motorcycle) | 0.284*** (0.030) | 1.328 |
| Utility Van (UPS, Bread Truck, etc) (Utility Van) | 0.211*** (0.054) | 1.235 |
| Bus (Bus) | -1.395*** (0.150) | 0.248 |
| School Bus (Bus) | 0.011 (0.306) | 1.011 |
| Full-Trailer, Refrigerator (Tractor-Trailers) | 0.071 (0.263) | 1.073 |
| Pole-Trailer, Log (Tractor-Trailers) | -0.045 (0.068) | 0.956 |
| Semi-Trailer, Livestock (Tractor-Trailers) | -0.713*** (0.072) | 0.490 |
| Straight Truck, Dump Truck, Flatbed, Cement Mixer (Tractor-Trailers) | -2.126*** (0.043) | 0.119 |
| Tank (Tractor-Trailers) | -1.739*** (0.289) | 0.176 |
| Truck Tractor (Tractor-Trailers) | -2.437*** (0.025) | 0.087 |
| Truck Tractor / Semi-Trailer (Tractor-Trailers) | -0.819*** (0.029) | 0.441 |
| Intermodal No Owner (Other) | -0.263 (0.715) | 0.768 |
| Crib Log Trailer (Other) | -1.409 (1.004) | 0.244 |
| Dolly Converter (Other) | -0.428 (0.584) | 0.652 |
| Emergency Vehicle (Police, Fire, EMS) (Other) | -0.208 (0.585) | 0.812 |
| Intermodal Owner (Other) | 0.794 (1.031) | 2.212 |
| Limousine (Other | 0.149 (0.324) | 1.160 |
| Motor Coach (Other) | 0.097 (0.307) | 1.102 |
| Motor Scooter / Moped (Other) | 0.478** (0.190) | 1.613 |
| None (Other) | -1.428*** (0.197) | 0.240 |
| NULL (Other) | -0.558*** (0.060) | 0.572 |
| Other (Other) | -0.682*** (0.092) | 0.505 |
| Recreational Vehicle (Other) | 0.611*** (0.081) | 1.842 |
| Road Tractor (Other) | -2.125** (1.002) | 0.119 |
| Train (Other) | 0.551 (0.595) | 1.735 |
| Unknown (Other) | -1.427*** (0.355) | 0.240 |
| Constant | -5.244*** (0.015) | 0.005 |
| Day Fixed Effects? | Yes | |
| Time Fixed Effects? | Yes | |
| Observations | 10,001,2 | 288 |
| Log Likelihood | -939,090. | 300 |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 1,878,319 | .000 |

Note: *p**p***p<0.01. SUV is the omitted reference category for vehicle type. The same controls from Model 2 in Table 4 are used but not included due to space. The collapsed vehicle type categories are included in parentheses.

Table A3. Predicted Probabilities from Figure 1.

| Vehicle Type | Predicted Probability |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Motorcycle | 0.021 |
| Utility Van | 0.023 |
| Passenger Car | 0.025 |
| SUV | 0.016 |
| Pickup Truck | 0.015 |
| Occupational Vehicle | 0.004 |

Note: Predicted probability for vehicle type category derived from Table 3 Model 3 logit results. Estimates are calculated holding all other control variables at their observed value. These results are depicted in Figure 1.

Table A4. Predicted Probabilities from Figure 2

| Vehicle Type | Black | Latinx | White |
|----------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Utility Van | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.022 |
| Passenger Car | 0.038 | 0.029 | 0.022 |
| Motorcycle | 0.011 | 0.021 | 0.022 |
| SUV | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.013 |
| Pickup Truck | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.013 |
| Occupational Vehicle | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.004 |

Note: Predicted probability for vehicle type category derived from Table 5. Estimates are calculated holding all other control variables at their observed value. These results are depicted in Figure 2.

Table A5. Predicted Probabilities from Figure 3A

| | Luxury | | | Non-Luxury | | |
|-------------|--------|--------|-------|------------|--------|-------|
| Vehicle Age | Black | Latinx | White | Black | Latinx | White |
| 0 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.004 |
| 1 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.007 |
| 2 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.004 | 0.023 | 0.017 | 0.008 |
| 3 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.024 | 0.019 | 0.010 |
| 4 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.011 |
| 5 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.007 | 0.026 | 0.023 | 0.012 |
| 6 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.009 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.013 |
| 7 | 0.022 | 0.024 | 0.010 | 0.027 | 0.025 | 0.014 |
| 8 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.011 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 0.025 | 0.028 | 0.012 | 0.027 | 0.028 | 0.016 |
| 10 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.013 | 0.028 | 0.029 | 0.017 |

Note: Predicted probability derived from Table 8 Model 2. Estimates are calculated holding all other control variables at their observed value. These values are depicted in Figure 3A.

Table A6. Predicted Probabilities from Figure 3B

| | | Luxury | | Non-Luxury | | |
|-------------|-------|--------|-------|------------|--------|-------|
| Vehicle Age | Black | Latinx | White | Black | Latinx | White |
| 0 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| 1 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.003 |
| 2 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.004 |
| 3 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.005 |
| 4 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.006 |
| 5 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.006 |
| 6 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.007 |
| 7 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.007 |
| 8 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| 9 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.009 |
| 10 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.009 |

Note: Predicted probability derived from Table 8 Model 2. Estimates are calculated holding all other control variables at their observed value. These values are depicted in Figure 3B.

Table A7. Predicted Probabilities from Figure 4

| | Male | | | Female | | |
|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| Vehicle Age | Black | Latinx | White | Black | Latinx | White |
| 0 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| 1 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| 2 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 3 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 4 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 5 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 6 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| 7 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| 8 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| 9 | 0.002 | -0.001 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| _10 | 0.001 | -0.001 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 |

Note: Luxury benefit derived from Table 8 Model 2. Estimates are calculated holding all other control variables at their observed value. These values are depicted in Figure 4.

Table A8. Replication of Table 4 without High Disparity Officer Controls.

| 1 | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | Model 3 | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|--|----------|
| | Coef. | Odds | Coef. | Odds | Coef. | Odds |
| | (SE) | Ratio | (SE) | Ratio | (SE) | Ratio |
| | ታታታ | | 0.438^{***} | | 0.445*** | |
| Black Female | 0.533*** (0.013) | 1.704 | (0.013) | 1.550 | (0.013) | 1.561 |
| | *** | | 1.277*** | | 1.317*** | |
| Black Male | 1.144*** (0.009) | 3.140 | (0.009) | 3.587 | (0.009) | 3.733 |
| | *** | | 0.248*** | | 0.247*** | |
| Latinx Female | 0.253*** (0.011) | 1.288 | (0.011) | 1.282 | (0.011) | 1.280 |
| | *** (0.000) | | 1.010*** | | 1.031*** | |
| Latinx Male | $0.690^{***} (0.008)$ | 1.994 | (0.008) | 2.746 | (0.008) | 2.803 |
| | 0.2-0*** (0.000) | | 0.587*** | 4 = 00 | 0.617*** | 4 0 7 9 |
| White Male | 0.379*** (0.008) | 1.461 | (0.008) | 1.799 | (0.008) | 1.853 |
| | o = - o *** | | 0.446*** | | 0.435*** | |
| Log Vehicle Age | $0.390^{***}(0.003)$ | 1.477 | (0.003) | 1.563 | (0.003) | 1.545 |
| _ | | | -1.349*** | 0.0.10 | | |
| Bus | | | (0.134) | 0.260 | | |
| | | | -2.074*** | | | |
| Truck Tractor | | | (0.017) | 0.126 | ate ate at | |
| Occupational | | | | | -1.534*** | 0.4.1 |
| Vehicle | | | *** | | (0.012) | 0.216 |
| | | | 0.304*** | | 0.305*** | |
| Motorcycle | | | (0.030) | 1.355 | (0.030) | 1.357 |
| | | | 0.503*** | 4 | 0.495*** | |
| Passenger Car | | | (0.006) | 1.653 | (0.006) | 1.641 |
| D: 1 | | | -0.087*** | 0.045 | -0.061*** | 0.044 |
| Pickup Truck | | | (0.007) | 0.917 | (0.007) | 0.941 |
| TT. 11. T7 | | | 0.217*** | 1 0 40 | 0.382*** | 1 465 |
| Utility Van | *** | | (0.054) | 1.243 | (0.059) | 1.465 |
| a | -4.662*** | 0.000 | -5.152*** | 0.006 | -5.117*** | 0.006 |
| Constant | (0.014) | 0.009 | (0.015) | 0.006 | (0.015) | 0.006 |
| Day Fixed Effects? | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | |
| Time Fixed Effects? | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | |
| Observations | 9,962,325 | | 9,962,325 | 5 | 9,962,325 | 5 |
| Log Likelihood | -975,505 | | -947,272 | 2 | -947,604 | |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 1,951,082 | | 1,894,629 | | 1,895,292 | |
| | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | G 1 66 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ** 1 * 1 |

Note: *p<.1,**p<.05,***p<0.01; Omitted categories are: Driver Race-Gender, "White Female"; Vehicle Type, "SUV". Logit coefficients are shown in the first column for each model with standard errors in parentheses. Odds ratios are presented in the second column for each model.

Table A9. Replication of Table 5 without High Disparity Officer Controls.

| | Coef. (SE) | Odds Ratio |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Black | 0.731*** (0.016) | 2.078 |
| Latinx | 0.502*** (0.012) | 1.651 |
| Male | 0.716*** (0.006) | 2.045 |
| Log Vehicle Age | 0.436*** (0.003) | 1.547 |
| Occupational Vehicle | -1.258*** (0.018) | 0.284 |
| Motorcycle | 0.525*** (0.035) | 1.690 |
| Passenger Car | 0.538*** (0.009) | 1.713 |
| Pickup Truck | 0.022** (0.010) | 1.022 |
| Utility Van | 0.522*** (0.083) | 1.686 |
| Black*Occupational Vehicle | -0.286*** (0.034) | 0.751 |
| Latinx*Occupational Vehicle | -0.510*** (0.025) | 0.601 |
| Black*Motorcycle | -1.304*** (0.129) | 0.271 |
| Latinx*Motorcycle | -0.402*** (0.082) | 0.669 |
| Black*Passenger Car | -0.053*** (0.018) | 0.949 |
| Latinx*Passenger Car | -0.107*** (0.014) | 0.898 |
| Black*Pickup Truck | -0.328*** (0.025) | 0.720 |
| Latinx*Pickup Truck | -0.160*** (0.015) | 0.852 |
| Black*Utility Van | -0.337* (0.176) | 0.714 |
| Latinx*Utility Van | -0.247* (0.128) | 0.781 |
| Constant | -5.255*** (0.016) | 0.005 |
| Day Fixed Effects? | Yes | |
| Time Fixed Effects? | Yes | |
| Observations | 9,962,325 | |
| Log Likelihood | 947,428 | |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 1,894,955 | |

Note: *p<.1,**p<.05,***p<0.01; Omitted categories are: Driver Race, "White"; Vehicle Type, "SUV". Logit coefficients are shown in the first column for each model with standard errors in parentheses. Odds ratios are presented in the second column for each model.

Table A10. Replication of Table 8 without High Disparity Officer Controls.

| | Model | 1 | Model 2 | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | Coef. (SE) | Odds Ratio | Coef. (SE) | Odds Ratio | |
| Black Female | 0.526*** (0.014) | 1.693 | 1.392*** (0.039) | 4.022 | |
| Black Male | 1.426*** (0.010) | 4.162 | 2.336*** (0.029) | 10.337 | |
| Latinx Female | 0.293*** (0.012) | 1.341 | 0.599*** (0.038) | 1.821 | |
| Latinx Male | 1.127*** (0.009) | 3.086 | 1.516*** (0.029) | 4.554 | |
| White Male | 0.666*** (0.009) | 1.946 | 0.805*** (0.028) | 2.237 | |
| Log Vehicle Age | $0.420^{***} (0.004)$ | 1.522 | 0.569*** (0.011) | 1.766 | |
| Passenger Car | 0.507*** (0.006) | 1.660 | 0.485*** (0.006) | 1.624 | |
| Luxury | -0.126*** (0.008) | 0.881 | -1.523*** (0.094) | 0.218 | |
| Black Female*Luxury | | | -0.399** (0.180) | 0.671 | |
| Black Male*Luxury | | | 0.166 (0.117) | 1.181 | |
| Latinx Female*Luxury | | | 0.596*** (0.153) | 1.815 | |
| Latinx Male*Luxury | | | 0.779*** (0.113) | 2.179 | |
| White Male*Luxury | | | 0.092 (0.111) | 1.096 | |
| Black Female*Vehicle Age | | | -0.439*** (0.019) | 0.645 | |
| Black Male*Vehicle Age | | | -0.451*** (0.013) | 0.637 | |
| Latinx Female*Vehicle Age | | | -0.165*** (0.018) | 0.848 | |
| Latinx Male*Vehicle Age | | | -0.207*** (0.013) | 0.813 | |
| White Male*Vehicle Age | | | -0.071*** (0.013) | 0.932 | |
| Luxury*Vehicle Age | | | 0.568*** (0.039) | 1.764 | |
| Black Female*Luxury*Vehicle Age | | | 0.201*** (0.074) | 1.223 | |
| Black Male*Luxury*Vehicle Age | | | -0.034 (0.048) | 0.966 | |
| Latinx Female*Luxury*Vehicle Age | | | -0.143** (0.064) | 0.867 | |
| Latinx Male*Luxury*Vehicle Age | | | -0.214*** (0.046) | 0.807 | |
| White Male*Luxury*Vehicle Age | | | -0.065 (0.046) | 0.937 | |
| Constant | -5.267*** (0.017) | 0.005 | -5.541*** (0.027) | 0.004 | |
| Day of Week FE? | Yes | | Yes | | |
| Hour of Day FE? | Yes | | Yes | | |
| Observations | 5,878,4 | 74 | 5,878,47 | 74 | |
| Log Likelihood | -666,995 | .100 | -665,008. | 500 | |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 1,334,066 | .000 | 1,330,125 | .000 | |

Note: *p<.1,**p<.05,***p<0.01; Omitted categories are: Driver Race-Gender, "White Female"; Vehicle Type, "SUV". Logit coefficients are shown in the first column for each model with standard errors in parentheses. Odds ratios are presented in the second column for each model.