

Appendix C Index of Public Concerns

Chapter 1 Purpose and Need	1-1
The Forest Service should adopt a Roadless Area Conservation Rule.....	1-4
Acknowledge that roadless areas are more valuable for their non-commodity resources	1-4
Prevent the detrimental environmental effects of roads.....	1-4
Limit environmental degradation due to greater human access.....	1-5
Acknowledge that roadless areas are less fire-prone than roaded areas.....	1-5
Halt the loss of remaining intact forest ecosystems	1-5
End the net loss to taxpayers of road construction.....	1-6
Set an example for the world	1-6
Encourage improved stewardship of roaded areas	1-6
Deter future legislative abuse of public lands	1-7
Limit the discretion of local personnel to enter roadless areas	1-7
The Forest Service should strengthen the Preferred Alternative to prohibit all destructive activities and include the Tongass.	1-7
The final rule should assure that roadless areas are protected in perpetuity.....	1-8
The scope of the final rule should be broadened to meet the stated purpose and need.	1-9
The Forest Service should clarify to the general public that the proposed rule does not close any existing roads or motorized trails or limit public access to national forests.....	1-10
The Forest Service should dismiss the “senior citizen and disabled access” argument against the proposed rule.	1-10
The need for preservation outweighs the need to provide access for the elderly and/or disabled.....	1-10
It is not a valid argument.....	1-10
The Forest Service should not adopt a Roadless Area Conservation Rule.....	1-13
Modern roadbuilding is not harmful to the environment	1-13
There is already enough designated wilderness and protected land.....	1-13
Society should make use of renewable timber resources rather than allow them to be wasted.....	1-14
Access is needed to reduce fire hazard.....	1-14
Accommodate active management of forest health for long-term benefit.....	1-15
The Forest Service has failed to identify a compelling purpose and need to adequately justify the proposed action.....	1-15
The Forest Service should abandon the rulemaking because existing laws and regulations already provide adequate protection for roadless areas.	1-16
The Forest Service should prioritize more pressing issues than the protection of roadless areas.	1-17
The Forest Service should not restrict public access.	1-17
For recreation	1-18
For the elderly, disabled, and all but the wealthy.....	1-18
For taxpaying citizens	1-19
The proposed rule will deny the American people legitimate access to their public lands. ...	1-19

The Forest Service should clarify its mission as a public land steward for the next century..... 1-20

The Forest Service should clearly state the overarching goal of the proposed rule. 1-21

The goal of the proposed rule should be to increase the effective size of wilderness and core habitat conservation areas. 1-21

The Forest Service should recognize that its central role is to provide dispersed and primitive backcountry recreation. 1-22

The Forest Service should consider whether National Forest System lands should be managed like national parks..... 1-23

 Manage similar to National Parks 1-23

 Do not manage similar to National Parks..... 1-23

The Forest Service should rely on sound science in its management of National Forest System lands. 1-24

The Forest Service should give environmental and recreational uses of National Forest System lands the same consideration as commodities uses..... 1-24

The Forest Service should prioritize protection of forest ecosystems. 1-25

The Forest Service should promote sustainable forest management internationally..... 1-25

The Forest Service should re-focus forest management on habitat restoration activities and ecosystem health goals..... 1-25

The Forest Service should manage all National Forest System lands for traditional multiple uses. 1-28

The Forest Service should return to decentralized management with an emphasis on scientifically-based resource extraction. 1-28

The Forest Service should distinguish between “conservation” and “preservation” of roadless areas in the Draft EIS..... 1-29

The Forest Service should not significantly reduce the percentage of National Forest System lands available for multiple use..... 1-29

The Forest Service should consider that active multiple use management including commodity extraction is better for the environment..... 1-30

The Forest Service should abandon the proposed rule to maintain flexibility to meet the future resource needs of society..... 1-30

The Forest Service should manage the national forests like farms..... 1-31

The Forest Service should adopt European forest management practices..... 1-31

Multiple use management should include motorized recreation. 1-31

The Forest Service should not manage roadless areas for traditional multiple use that emphasizes commodity extraction. 1-32

The Forest Service should shift its management approach of roadless areas to recognize that recreational and ecosystem values outweigh commodity values..... 1-32

Multiple use management in roadless areas should emphasize non-consumptive uses and values..... 1-33

 Emphasize wildlife and habitat values 1-33

 Consider the overall vitality of the ecosystem 1-33

The Forest Service should adopt the proposed rule to preserve commodity resources for the needs of future generations..... 1-34

Multiple use management of roadless areas should not include motorized recreation..... 1-34

 Develop a national rule to prohibit off-road vehicle use..... 1-34

Chapter 2 Consultation, Coordination, and Public Involvement..... 2-1

The proposed rule should call for continued efforts in creating compatible working arrangements among the Forest Service, special interests, and the general public. 2-1

The Forest Service should increase local involvement in decision-making process for the final rule. 2-2

The Forest Service should address the impacts of the proposed rule on other agencies’ coordination efforts. 2-3

The Forest Service should collaborate with individual state and county governments regarding local jurisdictions. 2-3

The Forest Service should explain why the local conservation districts were not included in the analysis, nor included in the distribution list, as mandated by the 1996 Farm Bill. . 2-4

The Forest Service should do a better job of including and involving all federal land management agencies in its analysis. 2-4

The federal government should coordinate the expansion of roadless areas onto non-National Forest System lands. 2-4

The Forest Service must consult with each effected nation if the final rule and record of decision goes beyond the proposed action. 2-5

The Forest Service should use the land management planning process, instead of rulemaking, to make forest level land use decisions. 2-6

The Forest Service should not use the rulemaking process as a means to circumvent existing laws. 2-7

The Forest Service should ensure fairness by using an open decision-making process. 2-7

The Forest Service should consider the timeframe being taken for this rulemaking process and implementation. 2-8

The Forest Service should invoke procedural rules on groups that file local appeals and litigation. 2-9

The Forest Service should rely on current legal tools provided through law and constitutional amendments in its decision-making. 2-10

 Authority does not derive from the President 2-11

 Authority does not derive from a series of regulations 2-11

The final rule should reflect the wishes of the public and the President. 2-11

The final rule should reflect good management rather than current politics. 2-12

 The chief of the Forest Service is the expert and should be consulted 2-12

 Alaska’s political delegation should not dictate public land management 2-12

 Ensure that progress will not be undone by a future administration 2-12

The Forest Service is within its authority by proposing this rule. 2-13

The Forest Service should clarify its authority to designate conservation reserves. 2-13

 Identify total nationwide acreage 2-13

The Forest Service should clarify its authority to close access. 2-13

 Clarify authority to block county roads. 2-14

The political appointment of the Forest Service Chief should overlap changes in the administration. 2-14

The Chief of the Forest Service should not be influenced by current politics. 2-14

 The chief should speak independently of the administration when presenting budget requests to Congress 2-14

The Chief of the Forest Service should be solely responsible for carrying out policy and directing the Forest Service. 2-14

The Forest Service should address requests for Cooperating Agency Status. 2-15

Individual states and counties should be given greater input and control over forest management on federal lands. 2-15

National Forest Systems Lands should be turned over to the respective states or counties. 2-16

The Forest Service should use input from research stations and sustainable agriculture policies. 2-16

An international committee should be established to certify that timber is harvested in an ecologically sound way; the import of non-certified timber should be prohibited. 2-16

The Forest Service should consider that existing laws reflect the will of the people. 2-17

The Forest Service should consider citizen input when making management decisions. 2-17

 Consider a nationwide vote. 2-17

 Consider stakeholder concerns. 2-17

Some polls indicate a majority of people are fervently against closing public land from wise recreational use. 2-18

Some polls indicate most people fervently support protection of roadless areas. 2-18

 The majority favors protection over commercial use. 2-18

The Forest Service should use objective surveys rather than the existing questionable surveys. 2-19

The Forest Service should not allow special interests to unduly influence or appear to influence public land management decisions. 2-20

 Do not allow undue influence from commercial and extractive interests. 2-20

 Do not accept funds from Off-Highway Vehicle groups. 2-21

 Allow only those concerned about forest health to influence policymaking. 2-21

 Do not allow undue influence from environmental groups. 2-21

The Forest Service should consider the interests of those intentionally locked out of the planning process by influential special interests. 2-22

The Forest Service should consider the interests of all recreational user groups. 2-22

 Include the off-road vehicle community. 2-22

Management decisions should be made at the local level. 2-24

 Adopt the No Action Alternative to ensure continued local control. 2-25

 Implement existing locally developed forest plans. 2-26

 Forest supervisors should manage roadless areas. 2-26

Management decisions should be made at the national level. 2-26

 Forest Service cannot be trusted to implement locally. 2-26

 Local planning efforts have failed to resolve issues. 2-27

The final rule should prevent local decision makers from circumventing the intent of the rule. 2-27

 By providing specific, clear, and concrete criteria for implementation. 2-28

 By determining off-road vehicle use. 2-28

 By preventing local managers from constructing roads for the purpose of blocking future roadless consideration. 2-28

The proposed rule should clearly disclose that the Forest Service would be the ultimate decision maker. 2-28

 The Forest Service should clarify who is in charge at the local level. 2-29

The Forest Service should realize the implementation of the proposed rule would cause controversy, appeals, and lawsuits. 2-30

The Forest Service should not purposely cause confusion by separately releasing several documents that are inherently integrated. 2-30

Public land management should not be politically motivated. 2-30

 The Tongass National Forest exemption is politically motivated..... 2-32

 The public input process is a means to hide the political use of this initiative 2-32

The Forest Service should delay the proposed rule until Congress completes its investigation of improprieties in developing the rule. 2-32

The Forest Service should instruct its agency employees to speak with sensitivity and forthrightness. 2-32

The Forest Service should not disseminate false reports that forests have regrown when they are hardly replanted..... 2-33

The Forest Service should not mislead the public about which roads and trails would be closed as a result of this rule. 2-33

The Forest Service should not mislead the public about the effects of the proposed rule by ignoring RARE II information about mineral potential..... 2-34

The Forest Service should not mislead the public by including alternatives that would sanction uneconomical and inefficient commercial timber harvest..... 2-34

The Forest Service should disclose whether the real goal of this proposed rule is to save ecosystems or to appease environmentalists while continuing to allow destructive activities. 2-34

The Forest Service should disclose whether the real goal of this proposed rule is simply an attempt to extort additional road maintenance money from Congress. 2-34

The Forest Service should disclose whether the real goal of this proposed rule is to ensure the closure of roads by eliminating records and changing definitions. 2-35

The Forest Service should disclose whether the real goal of this proposed rule is to bypass Congress and establish de facto wilderness areas..... 2-35

The Forest Service should disclose whether the real goal of this and recent rulemakings is to lock out the public from public lands..... 2-36

The Forest Service should disclose whether the proposed rule is intended to help the Forest Service comply with commitments made to the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources..... 2-36

The Forest Service should use balanced and objective data and language to clearly and fairly represent the issues..... 2-38

The Forest Service should remove insulting and discriminatory language and eliminate the social bias..... 2-39

 Issue a public apology..... 2-40

The Forest Service should remove the bias created by using questionable references and should conduct new rural economics investigations..... 2-40

The Forest Service should modify language contained in the Draft EIS that could be used to discount recreational values. 2-41

The Forest Service should issue a supplement to the Draft EIS pursuant to 40 CFR 1502.9, “to address the significant new circumstances and information” and 40 CFR 1502.9(a) “to address the inadequacies that preclude meaningful analysis.” 2-41

The Forest Service should address contradictions within the Draft EIS. 2-41

The Forest Service should address discrepancies between the summary and the Draft EIS regarding local authority prior to plan revisions. 2-42

The Forest Service should reassess the broad, unsubstantiated assumptions used in the Draft EIS. 2-42

The Forest Service should cite references to support statements made in the Draft EIS. 2-43

The Forest Service should replace the use of qualitative discussions and estimates with scientific data. 2-43

 No scientific data supports the roadless plan in the Tongass 2-43

The Forest Service should use scientific methods and analyses in reviewing this proposed rule..... 2-44

The Forest Service should incorporate the data and findings provided by the public into its analyses. 2-44

The Forest Service should address the need for technical expertise during local implementation. 2-44

The proposed rule should call for continued public processes and information sharing. 2-45

 Local managers should be accessible..... 2-45

 Information should be disseminated 2-45

The Forest Service should give adequate notice of public meetings. 2-46

 Give advance notice of format and agenda 2-47

The Forest Service should set an adequate number of public meetings at convenient times and locations..... 2-47

The Forest Service should ensure that the meetings are fairly conducted. 2-48

 By reserving large enough meeting rooms..... 2-49

 By recording comments at all public meetings 2-49

The Forest Service should modify the PowerPoint presentation. 2-49

The Forest Service should make its presentations in public meetings clear and accurate. 2-49

 By providing materials and definitions 2-50

 By use local photographs as examples..... 2-50

 The Senate should investigate the Forest Service’s handling of public meetings..... 2-50

The Forest Service should allow people to bring signs to public meetings. 2-50

The Forest Service should consider the appropriateness of allowing preservation organizations to sell merchandise at public meetings. 2-50

The Forest Service should extend the comment period. 2-51

 Additional 60 days 2-51

 Additional 90 days 2-52

 Additional 120 days 2-52

 Until September, 2000 2-52

 Until December 31, 2000 2-53

 Until the current administration has ended 2-53

The Forest Service should not extend the comment period. 2-53

The Forest Service should provide sufficient information regarding the potential effects of all recently proposed plans so the public may be better informed. 2-54

The Forest Service should address the adequacy of the scoping process and subsequent information disclosed in the Draft EIS. 2-54

The Forest Service should create more opportunities for public input. 2-54

 By improving notification and mailings..... 2-55

 By providing internet access to documents..... 2-55

 By improving information access for those without computers..... 2-55

 By mailing out questionnaires..... 2-56

 By surveying forest users 2-56

The Forest Service should avoid soliciting emotionally charged comments..... 2-56

The Forest Service should not announce the number of comments received while
the comment period is open. 2-56

The Forest Service should give assurance that the public’s comments are considered..... 2-56

The Forest Service should consider input from Forest Service employees, and clarify
its policy regarding such input. 2-57

The Forest Service should consider that written public comment is sometimes based on
inaccurate assumptions or information. 2-58

 Due to inadequate understanding of the proposed rule and the Tongass exemption 2-58

 Due to methods used by special interest groups 2-58

The Forest Service should consider that public meeting comment is skewed..... 2-59

The Forest Service should consider opinions of individuals equally with those of special
interests. 2-60

The Forest Service should disclose how much weight is given to local comments. 2-60

The Forest Service should acknowledge that comments received during the scoping
period were not adequately considered, given such a short turn around and large
volume..... 2-60

The Forest Service should improve its quality of content analysis. 2-60

The Forest Service should address its process for gauging public opinion. 2-61

Chapter 3 Legal Issues and Concerns..... 3-1

The proposed rule should protect civil liberties and constitutional property rights. 3-2

The Forest Service should not violate the “Takings Clause” of the Fifth Amendment
of the Constitution..... 3-2

The proposed rule should comply with the First Amendment..... 3-3

The government should limit its land ownership to only five percent of the land. 3-3

The proposed rule should comply with the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution..... 3-3

The Forest Service should honor states’ rights. 3-3

 To regulate air and water quality 3-3

 To regulate wildlife 3-4

 To manage watersheds 3-4

 To manage transportation systems 3-4

 To manage school trust lands..... 3-4

Congress should have the only decision-making authority over federal lands pursuant to
the property clause of the Constitution. 3-4

The Forest Service should comply with the Administrative Procedures Act. 3-7

 By providing sufficient data for meaningful public comment 3-8

 By following rules on ex-parte communication 3-8

 By not being arbitrary 3-8

The Forest Service should comply with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. 3-9

 By obtaining balanced representation from outside interest groups 3-9

The Forest Service should use other methods of dispute resolution..... 3-9

The Forest Service should comply with the Freedom of Information Act. 3-10

The proposed rule should comply with the Civil Rights Act..... 3-10

The Forest Service should comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act. 3-10

The proposed rule should comply with the National Outdoor Recreation Act..... 3-11

The Forest Service should comply with the Contract with America Act by providing a report to Congress. 3-11

The proposed rule should comply with the Government Performance and Results Act. 3-11

The Forest Service should comply with the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 by granting access to inholders..... 3-11

The proposed rule should comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act. 3-11

The Forest Service should comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act. 3-12

 By correcting inadequacies in the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis..... 3-12

 By analyzing the impacts on motorized recreation businesses 3-13

 By analyzing the impacts on the timber industry 3-13

 By analyzing the impacts on the mining industry 3-14

 By providing a comprehensive or cumulative impact analysis..... 3-15

 By completing an economic impact analysis 3-15

 By compensating rural communities for lost economic benefits 3-16

Forest level decisions regarding the proposed rule should require a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis..... 3-16

The proposed rule should comply with the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. 3-16

 By not dividing the project into smaller segments..... 3-17

The proposed rule should comply with the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act. 3-17

The proposed rule should be withdrawn until it has been subjected to analysis pursuant to Executive Order 12630 and reviewed by Congress..... 3-19

The forest Service should comply with Executive Order 12612. 3-19

The proposed rule should comply with Executive Order 12866. 3-19

The proposed rules should comply with Executive Order 12898, Environmental Justice. ... 3-19

The Forest Service should adhere to Executive Order 11644 regarding off-road vehicle use, and should determine, at the national level, the appropriateness of such vehicles in roadless areas. 3-20

The proposed rule should comply with Executive Order 12988. 3-21

The proposed rule should disclose the effects of the prohibition alternatives per Executive Order 11593. 3-21

The proposed rule should maintain road access as defined by boards of county commissioners..... 3-21

The Forest Service should not usurp local authority to control the establishment or alteration of roads. 3-22

 County authority..... 3-22

 State authority 3-23

The proposed rule should comply with the 1905 New Mexico Territorial Act..... 3-23

The proposed rule should adhere to the principles of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo and Article IV of the New Mexico State Constitution..... 3-23

The Forest Service should honor states’ valid existing water rights. 3-24

The Forest Service should honor the Supreme Court decision on United States v. New Mexico..... 3-24

The Forest Service should comply with the court ruling from the United Four Wheel Drive Association lawsuit. 3-25

The proposed rule should comply with the National Environmental Policy Act.	3-27
By providing an early and open scoping process	3-28
By adequately responding to scoping comments	3-29
By collaborating with local stakeholders	3-29
By Cooperating with local agencies.....	3-29
By Consulting with federal agencies.....	3-29
By circulating the Draft EIS according to Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations, Part 1502.19.....	3-30
By not rushing this process	3-30
By not presenting false facts	3-30
By providing an accurate summary.....	3-31
By providing sufficient information for the public to evaluate.....	3-31
By addressing inadequacies that preclude meaningful analysis.....	3-32
By addressing significant new information.....	3-32
By adequately describing the affected environment	3-32
By providing a full and fair discussion of significant environmental impacts.....	3-32
By conducting an area-by-area effects analysis	3-33
By providing a cost/benefit analysis	3-34
By describing direct and indirect effects and conflicts with other plans.....	3-34
By including a cumulative effects analysis	3-35
By considering cumulative impacts of multiple proposals	3-35
By creating an analytic document	3-37
By providing a document of scientific integrity.....	3-37
By not using “selective science”	3-37
By providing an adequate range of alternatives	3-37
By using a proper baseline for comparison of alternatives	3-38
By providing objective analysis of all reasonable alternatives	3-39
The Forest Service should comply with the Council on Environmental Quality regulations.....	3-40
By providing accurate scientific analysis.....	3-40
By not creating a “verbose” document.....	3-40
The Forest Service should comply with the environmental standards of the National Environmental Policy Act by restricting motorized recreation on public lands.....	3-40
Forest level decisions regarding the proposed rule should be subject to National Environmental Policy Act review and comment.	3-41
The Forest Service should eliminate references to spiritual renewal in National Environmental Policy Act documents.	3-41
The Forest Service should support legislation amending the National Environmental Policy Act.....	3-41
The Forest Service should clarify whether the National Environmental Policy Act process is unconstitutional.	3-41
The Forest Service should comply with the Endangered Species Act.....	3-42
The proposed rule should clarify whether state or federal governments have jurisdiction over environmental compliance of off-highway vehicle grants.	3-43
The Forest service should address the interaction of the proposed rule with Class 1 areas under the Clean Air Act.....	3-43
The Forest Service should address the proposed rule’s violation of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act.....	3-44

The proposed rule should comply with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976. 3-44

- By coordinating plans with other agencies, states, and local governments..... 3-44
- By establishing utility planning corridors 3-44

The Forest Service should comply with the Multiple Use and Sustained Yield Act..... 3-45

- By considering the values of all resources 3-46
- By not elevating one resource over any others..... 3-46
- By meeting the non-impairment standard 3-46
- By completing an area-by-area analysis..... 3-47

The Forest Service should manage the forests for watershed and timber extraction, as mandated by the Organic Act. 3-47

The proposed rule should comply with The Transfer Act. 3-49

The Forest Service should meet the requirements of the Renewable Resources Planning Act and its supporting regulations. 3-49

The Forest Service should respect local planning processes, as mandated by the National Forest Management Act. 3-49

The proposed rule should comply with the National Forest Management Act. 3-50

- By recognizing that rulemaking authority does not negate National Forest Management Act requirements..... 3-51
- By meeting consistency requirements of the National Forest Management Act 3-51
- By creating “one integrated plan” for each forest 3-52
- By completing long range forest planning at the forest level..... 3-52
- By informing Congress of the roadless initiative 3-52
- By coordinating with stakeholders..... 3-52
- By coordinating planning efforts with tribes and Native Alaskans..... 3-52
- By coordinating with other public planning efforts 3-53
- By providing meaningful public participation 3-53
- By securing the views of a committee of scientists..... 3-53
- By developing an amendment for significant changes..... 3-53
- By setting timber harvest goals 3-54
- By protecting the environment..... 3-54
- By maintaining roadway standards 3-55
- By following National Forest Management Act processes in designating wilderness and roadless areas 3-55

The proposed rule should comply with the planning regulations. 3-55

- By completing site specific analysis 3-56
- By providing scientific analysis 3-56
- By Consulting a committee of scientists 3-56

The proposed rule should use the current planning regulations’ criteria for evaluating roadless areas. 3-56

The Forest Service should abide by the Wilderness Act of 1964. 3-57

The proposed rule should comply with state wilderness acts. 3-58

- California Wilderness Act of 1984..... 3-58
- Oregon and Washington Wilderness Acts 3-58
- Wyoming Wilderness Act 3-59
- Montana Wilderness Study Act..... 3-59
- Colorado Wilderness Act 3-60
- Utah Wilderness Act of 1984..... 3-60
- Idaho Wilderness Act..... 3-60

Michigan Wilderness Act.....	3-61
Nevada Wilderness Act.....	3-61
New Hampshire Wilderness Act of 1994.....	3-61
The Forest Service should disclose the legislative history that allowed 21 states to pass legislation increasing wilderness.	3-61
The Forest Service should support the proposed American Red Rock Wilderness Act.....	3-61
The Forest Service should honor RS2477 rights-of-way.....	3-62
County rights-of-way	3-63
The Forest Service should resolve RS 2477 claims before the proposed rule is adopted.	3-63
The Forest Service should reevaluate the relationship between the Tongass Timber Reform Act and the proposed rule.	3-64
Amend section 101.....	3-65
The Forest Service should comply with the Tongass Timber Reform Act.....	3-65
The proposed rule should comply with the Alaska National Interest Land Conservation Act.....	3-66
With regard to subsistence lifestyles.....	3-66
By guaranteeing access to inholders on all national forests.....	3-67
By prohibiting single use studies	3-67
The Forest Service should allow access across national forests in Alaska relative to provisions in the Alaska Native Claims Settlement and Alaska National Interest Conservation Acts.....	3-67
The Forest Service should exercise its statutory authority under Alaska National Interest Land Conservation Act to determine adequate access on a case-by-case basis.	3-67
The Forest Service should address the effectiveness of the Alaska Forest Practices Act.	3-68
The proposed rule should adhere to the principles in the Tongass Land Management Plan.	3-68
The proposed rule should comply with the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.....	3-68
The proposed rule should comply with the Alaska Statehood Act.....	3-69
The Forest Service should keep the promise made in the 1924 Clark-McNary Act.	3-69
The Proposed Rule should comply with the intent of the Roads and Trails Act and the Renewal Resources Planning Act.	3-70
The proposed rule should comply with Special Use regulations in 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 251.	3-70
The Forest Service should comply with the purpose of the National Recovery Relief Act. .	3-71
The proposed rule should provide “community stability” as required by Timber Management Planning.	3-71
The proposed rule should comply with the intent of the Weeks Act.....	3-71
The Forest Service should revise funding by repealing the Knutson-Vandenburg Act of 1930.	3-71
The U.S. Government should establish review panels to settle disputes between land management and regulatory agencies.	3-72
The Forest Service should develop a modified Public Land Law Review Commission.....	3-72
The Forest Service should comply with the General Mining Law of 1872.....	3-73
By not allowing recreational placer mining in roadless areas.....	3-74
The Forest Service should repeal the 1872 Mining Act.	3-74
The Forest Service should revise the 1872 Mining Act.....	3-74

The Proposed Rule should comply with the National Materials Research and Development Act of 1980..... 3-74

The Proposed Rule should comply with the Mining and Minerals Policy Act of 1970. 3-75

The proposed rule should comply with the Leasing Reform Act. 3-75

The Forest Service should comply with the Federal Coal Management Program regulations by completing an unsuitability analysis before withdrawing land from coal leasing activities. 3-75

The Forest Service should use Smith River National Recreation Area regulations on mining as a model for roadless areas conservation..... 3-76

The Forest Service mining regulations should be revised and subject to public review under the National Environmental Policy Act. 3-76

The proposed rule should comply with the Forest Service Manual for mineral withdrawal..... 3-76

The Forest Service should exercise its statutory authority to restrict the mode of access to mining claims. 3-77

The Forest Service should evaluate various processes used to determine mining development rights..... 3-78

The final rule should comply with federal laws governing mining by requiring that valid existing rights apply only to valuable mineral deposits..... 3-79

 Court rulings..... 3-80

The Forest Service should provide mining access..... 3-80

 Exploration access..... 3-81

The proposed rule should be consistent with existing laws as they relate to mining. 3-83

 Federal Land Policy and Management Act..... 3-83

 Organic Act..... 3-84

 Multiple Use Sustained Yield Act..... 3-84

 USFS regulations and directives 3-85

 Wilderness Act..... 3-85

 Surface Resource and Multiple Use Act of 1955..... 3-86

The Forest Service should complete hydrocarbon inventories required by the proposed National Energy Security Act. 3-86

The Forest Service should oppose the Conservation And Reinvestment Act. 3-86

The Forest Service should support the Sequoia Ecosystem and Recreation Preserve Act. ... 3-86

The Forest Service should support the enactment of the Northern Rockies Ecosystem Protection act. 3-87

The Forest Service should support the National Forest Protection and Restoration Act..... 3-87

The Forest Service should compensate for the loss of school funding from decreased timber harvest by supporting the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act. 3-88

Congress should pass legislation to prohibit the use of polluting off-road vehicles. 3-88

The Forest Service should honor the United States’ treaty obligations with Native American peoples..... 3-88

The Forest Service should revise the exemptions for road building to include tribal treaty rights. 3-89

The proposed rule should deny access to roadless areas in order to honor treaty rights. 3-89

Chapter 4 Alternatives and Rule Development 4-1

The Roadless Initiative should be separated into three parts: one that addresses activities to be restricted, one that addresses off-road recreational use, and one that addresses other management issues in these areas 4-2

The Forest Service should clarify how the value of roadless areas would differ under the various alternatives of the proposed rule. 4-2

The Forest Service should address contradictions between Alternatives 2 and B..... 4-2

The Forest Service should combine Alternatives 2 and 3 in order to protect areas from timber harvesting. 4-2

The Forest Service should compare all proposed action alternatives with the No Action Alternative..... 4-2

The Forest Service should use a proper baseline for comparing alternatives..... 4-3

Alternatives in the proposed rule should focus on the best way to manage land and resources rather than on prohibitions. 4-3

The type of activities to be allowed in roadless areas should be clearly spelled out in the Environmental Impact Statement..... 4-3

The Forest Service should expand the range of alternatives for the proposed rule. 4-4

 Allow the use of temporary roads 4-4

 Vary the amount of roadless acres 4-4

The Forest Service should provide alternatives that have distinct differences. 4-4

The Forest Service should not attempt to generalize any alternative. 4-5

 The Forest Service should consider an alternative that allows road building, continued access, and multiple use management. 4-5

The Forest Service needs to consider an alternative that carefully considers the impacts of recreation, timber, and mineral interests in Regions 8 and 9. 4-5

The Forest Service should develop an “access for all” alternative..... 4-6

The Forest Service should prepare an alternative that assigns the decision-making authority based on local conditions..... 4-6

The Forest Service should adopt a new Alternative 5. 4-6

The Forest Service should consider an alternative that would not ban road construction in inventoried roadless areas that were considered in post-1995 revisions to forest plans..... 4-6

ALTER.818 The Forest Service should create a leaseable minerals alternative. 4-7

The Forest Service should consider an alternative that returns treaty-ceded lands to traditional native peoples. 4-7

The Forest Service should consider an alternative that prohibits road building and timber harvest in roadless areas associated with drinking water..... 4-7

The Forest Service should address false assumptions in the Prohibition Alternatives..... 4-8

The Forest Service should clarify the prohibition alternatives dealing with timber harvest. ... 4-8

The Forest Service should explain what criteria were used to determine that road construction and timber harvest qualify as prohibited acts..... 4-8

The Forest Service should implement Alternative 3 west of the 100th Meridian and Alternative 4 east of the 100th Meridian. 4-8

The Forest Service should adopt the No Action Alternative. 4-9

 Manage wildlife within Nevada 4-9

 More study is needed. 4-9

The Forest Service should adopt a modified version of Alternative 1. 4-10
 Land use education and funding..... 4-10
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 1D..... 4-10
 The Forest Service should adopt the Preferred Alternative..... 4-11
 The Forest Service should modify its Preferred Alternative. 4-11
 Allow off-highway vehicle access 4-11
 Include all recreational values..... 4-11
 Protect species..... 4-11
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 2C..... 4-11
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 2D..... 4-12
 The Forest Service should not adopt the Preferred Alternative..... 4-12
 The Forest Service should cite studies which support the Preferred Alternative. 4-12
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 3..... 4-13
 The Forest Service should adopt a modified version of Alternative 3. 4-13
 Include a moratorium on road building in the Tongass..... 4-13
 Include fire prevention measures 4-13
 Include a public oversight process 4-13
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 3C..... 4-13
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 3D..... 4-13
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 3DT4. 4-14
 The Forest Service should clarify what activities will be allowed under Alternative 3. 4-14
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 4..... 4-14
 Prohibit grazing..... 4-15
 Prohibit mining, grazing, off-highway vehicles, and commercial development..... 4-15
 The Forest Service should clarify whether Alternative 4 would allow harvest of
 “dead and down” trees. 4-15
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 4A..... 4-15
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 4B..... 4-15
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 4D..... 4-15
 The Forest Service should adopt a modified version of Alternative 4D..... 4-15
 The Forest Service should adopt a modified version of Alternative 4DT3. 4-16
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 4DT4. 4-16
 The Forest Service should adopt a modified version of Alternative 4DT4. 4-16
 The Draft EIS should list the actual procedures to be implemented..... 4-17
 The Forest Service should clarify the criteria to be used to designate future roadless
 areas. 4-17
 The proposed rule’s forest and project level planning procedures should reflect the need
 for consistency and provide parameters and standards to guide local decision makers... 4-18
 The Forest Service should ensure that under the procedural alternatives local
 participation is not dominated by economics..... 4-18
 The Forest Service should more thoroughly analyze the Procedural Alternatives. 4-18
 The Forest Service should adopt Alternative A..... 4-18
 The Forest Service should implement Procedural Alternative B..... 4-19
 The Forest Service should not adopt Procedural Alternative B..... 4-19
 Under Procedural Alternative B the Forest Service should limit the authority of local
 managers to protect roadless areas from local user group pressures. 4-19
 The Forest Service should implement Procedural Alternative C..... 4-19

The Forest Service should adopt Procedural Alternative D.....	4-20
The Forest Service should provide the scientific basis for excluding the Tongass National Forest from the proposed rule.	4-21
The Forest Service should defer action on the Tongass National Forest.....	4-21
The proposed rule should protect the Tongass National Forest from the timber industry. ...	4-21
The Forest Service should prohibit road building on the Tongass National Forest.....	4-22
The Forest Service should allow road construction on the Tongass National Forest.	4-22
The Forest Service should protect at least half of the inventoried roadless areas in the Tongass National Forest.	4-22
The Forest Service should include all inventoried roadless areas over 25,000 acres and adjacent to wilderness areas in the Tongass National Forest.....	4-22
The Forest Service should consider a 50 year moratorium on activities in roadless areas of the Tongass National Forest.	4-22
The Forest Service should not wait four years to realize that there are sufficient roads to meet timber, recreational and subsistence access needs in the Tongass National Forest.....	4-22
The Forest Service should treat the Tongass National Forest as an equal share of America's National Forest legacy.	4-23
The Tongass should be considered a North American Natural Heritage Area.	4-23
The Forest Service should implement and evaluate the Tongass Land Management Plan. ...	4-23
The Forest Service should adopt Alternative T1.	4-23
The Forest Service should adopt Alternative T2.	4-24
The Forest Service should not adopt Alternative T3.	4-24
The Forest Service should adopt Alternative T4.	4-25
Inland waterways	4-25
Recreation	4-25
Local decision-makers	4-26
Wildlife habitat	4-26
Evolution.....	4-26
The Forest Service should phase out timber harvesting and road building in the Tongass National Forest within ten years.	4-26
The Forest Service should cease all proposed or planned timber harvest operations in roadless and unroaded areas pending revision of forest plans.....	4-27
The Forest Service should not proceed with any currently planned road construction projects in roadless areas until the final decision is made.	4-27
The Forest Service should continue current plans for timber harvest, road construction, and trail building until new forest plans are adopted.....	4-27
The Final EIS should include all roadless areas that were included in the Draft EIS.	4-28
The Forest Service should include Wilderness Study Areas in roadless area inventories.....	4-28
The Forest Service should protect all remaining uninventoried roadless areas.....	4-29
Uninventoried roadless areas provide the same values as inventoried areas	4-29
Mandate follow-up planning.....	4-29
The Forest Service should include the roaded areas identified as part of the national forest roadless areas in the proposed rule.	4-29

The Forest Service should designate roadless areas based on their biological value, not on their roaded status. 4-29

 Protect biologically significant areas of any size 4-30

 Protect species from extinction 4-30

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas regardless of size. 4-31

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas of 10 acres or more. 4-31

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 50 acres. 4-31

The Forest Service should protect all roadless and unroaded areas larger than 160 acres. ... 4-32

The Forest Service should protect all roadless and unroaded areas larger than 200 acres. ... 4-32

The Forest Service should protect all unroaded areas larger than 300 acres. 4-32

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 400 acres. 4-32

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 500 acres. 4-32

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 639 acres. 4-32

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 640 acres. 4-33

The Forest Service should protect all inventoried and uninventoried roadless areas larger than 1000 acres. 4-33

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 2000 acres. 4-33

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 1000 acres east of the Mississippi River. 4-33

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas larger than 2500 acres in the eastern United States. 4-33

The Forest Service should protect all roadless areas of 1000 acres or more that are within 10 miles of another roadless area or a national park. 4-33

The Forest Service should justify its choice of 5000 acres as the minimum size for roadless areas. 4-33

 Eastern forests 4-34

The Forest Service should preserve ecosystems by establishing laws without loopholes. 4-34

The Forest Service should include language that minimizes “other exemptions” in the alternatives. 4-34

The proposed rule should allow exemptions and waivers. 4-34

The Forest Service should clarify the duration and statutory authority of the proposed rule. 4-36

The Forest Service should clarify whether the proposed rule will apply to national monuments. 4-36

The Forest Service should clarify whether beaches are roadless areas. 4-36

The proposed rule should clarify how many of the 53 million acres will be protected. 4-37

The proposed rule should call for site-specific evaluations of roadless areas. 4-37

The proposed rule should not supercede projects which have already met all legal requirements. 4-37

The proposed rule should leave land allocation decisions to forest planning. 4-37

The Forest Service should clarify whether the proposed rule will require land use designation changes and amendments to forest plans. 4-37

The Forest Service should consider that the proposed rule would override existing forest plans involving water management. 4-38

The Forest Service should consider that the proposed rule would hinder the resolution of key issues in forest plans. 4-38

The Forest Service should address the effects of this proposed rule on forest plans throughout the nation. 4-38

 Sierra Nevada Framework Project 4-38

 Southern Appalachians..... 4-38

The Forest Service should consider that the proposed rule essentially makes forest plans meaningless..... 4-39

The Forest Service should not adopt the proposed rule. 4-39

 The proposed rule necessitates the consideration of wilderness designation..... 4-39

 Wilderness designation may occur without regard for recreational opportunities..... 4-39

 The majority of roadless areas have already been evaluated and deemed not suitable for wilderness status 4-39

 There are already enough roadless and wilderness areas 4-39

 Existing forest management plans already ensure a healthy environment..... 4-39

The Forest Service should perform a new road inventory. 4-41

The Final EIS should reflect inventories and evaluations that are no more than five years old..... 4-42

The Forest Service should include land acquired since previous inventories were completed. 4-42

The Forest Service should state when it will conduct an inventory of the uninventoried roadless areas. 4-42

The Forest Service should rely on the RARE I inventory for roadless designations. 4-42

The Forest Service should include all RARE II areas as part of the final rule. 4-42

The Forest Service should modify language in the Draft EIS to acknowledge that RARE II and forest plan inventories do not reflect new knowledge and public awareness of the important values of roadless areas. 4-43

The Forest Service should explain the extent and impacts of road-building and timber harvest in RARE II roadless areas. 4-43

The Forest Service should reevaluate the adequacy of the RARE II Inventory analysis. 4-43

The Final EIS should clarify what characteristics the Forest Service wants to protect for each inventoried roadless area..... 4-43

The Forest Service should identify roadless area characteristics which are clear and not open to competing interpretations..... 4-44

The Forest Service should consider establishing criteria for roadless area implementation. 4-44

The Forest Service should revise the list of characteristics and values of roadless areas. 4-44

The Forest Service should consider “lack of roads” as a “roadless characteristic.” 4-44

Part 294.13(a) of the final rule should include new evaluation criteria for scenic management, historical areas, and wilderness potential. 4-45

Part 295.13a of the final rule should eliminate reference to dispersed motorized recreation as a characteristic of roadless areas. 4-45

The Forest Service should consider that no discrete set of criteria can characterize the unique values and characteristics of individual roadless areas. 4-45

The Forest Service should conduct a state-by-state analysis of roadless areas. 4-46

The Forest Service should use a computer-based modeling system to assign values of use and condition to inventoried roadless areas. 4-46

The Forest Service should establish depth and width criteria to assure that irregularly shaped roadless areas remain manageable. 4-46

The Forest Service should state the overall size of non-inventoried areas and address the potential impacts of this rule on those areas. 4-46

The Forest Service should add smaller uninventoried roadless areas to the current roadless inventory. 4-46

The Forest Service should exclude unsuitable roadless areas from the roadless inventory. . 4-47

The Forest Service should clarify why the estimated roadless acreage has grown over the course of planning for the proposed rule. 4-47

The Forest Service should not declare large areas of the national forests roadless without first conducting an inventory of these areas for existing trails. 4-47

The Forest Service should inventory any roadless area of 1000 acres or more. 4-47

The Forest Service should reevaluate the number of roads in New England and Mid-Atlantic forests. 4-47

The Forest Service should re-inventory areas within the Hoosier National Forest. 4-48

The Forest Service should resurvey Arizona to ensure accurate roadless acreage. 4-48

The Forest Service should identify additional unroaded areas in the Chippewa and Superior National Forests. 4-48

The Forest Service should carry out road classification on a regional basis. 4-49

The Forest Service should consider that the speed with which this initiative is being implemented is causing inaccurate roadless classifications. 4-49

The Forest Service should create a new category of “unroaded” areas. 4-50

The Forest Service should change the definition of “unroaded” to “a portion of an inventoried roadless area in which no classified road has been constructed since the area was inventoried.” 4-50

The Forest Service should refer to areas with pre-inventory roads as semi-roadless areas and clarify how they will be affected by this rule. 4-50

The Forest Service should not designate roadless areas which contain 2477 rights of way through them. 4-50

When delineating roadless areas, the Forest Service should classify temporary roads as roads. 4-50

The Forest Service should include historic roads in its roadless area inventory. 4-50

The Forest Service should include all existing roads and 4-wheel drive routes in the roadless area inventory. 4-51

The Forest Service should recognize the existence of trails less than 50 inches wide. 4-51

The Forest Service should clarify whether decommissioning or obliterating roads would create a roadless area. 4-51

The Forest Service should consider for roadless designation, areas with a few roads that could be blocked or obliterated. 4-51

The Forest Service should not allow “ghost” roads to disqualify an area as roadless. 4-51

Areas with primitive roads, jeep trails, or classified roads should not be disqualified from roadless designation. 4-52

The Forest Service should clarify the status of “phantom roads” to ensure that road construction could not be performed under the guise of repairing existing roadways. 4-52

The Forest Service should explain how the roaded portions of inventoried roadless areas will be delineated. 4-52

The Forest Service should consider removing areas that cannot be harvested with temporary roads from the roadless area classification. 4-52

The Forest Service should discontinue the use of the term roadless to describe areas which contain forest products. 4-52

The Roadless Area designation should be eliminated. 4-52

The Forest Service should be consistent in statements regarding the possible future designation of roadless areas as wilderness areas. 4-54

The Forest Service should judge each roadless area independently on its own merits for wilderness designation. 4-54

The Forest Service should manage all roadless areas so as to protect their eligibility for wilderness designation. 4-54

The Forest Service should designate and maintain all remaining roadless areas as wilderness in perpetuity. 4-54

 Yaak valley 4-54

 Tongass National Forest..... 4-54

 Idaho..... 4-55

 Estuaries and wetlands 4-55

The Forest Service should quickly assess lands which qualify as wilderness..... 4-55

The Forest Service should designate wilderness areas in response to population increases. 4-55

The Forest Service should use watershed boundaries to delineate roadless areas..... 4-55

The Forest Service should clarify whether stewardship activities and temporary roads would disqualify an area from potential wilderness designation..... 4-55

The Forest Service should consider designations other than wilderness..... 4-56

The Final EIS should recommend to the President that all roadless areas be designated national monuments..... 4-56

The Forest Service should postpone the wilderness recommendation process until the next round of forest plan revisions..... 4-56

The Forest Service should not attempt to designate any more wilderness areas..... 4-56

Chapter 5 Environmental Effects 5-1

The Forest Service should consider the ecological value of roadless areas. 5-2

 Roadless areas lack ecological value 5-2

 Roadless areas have ecological value..... 5-2

 Forests prevent acid rain 5-2

 Forests in a natural condition preserve ecological balance 5-2

The Forest Service should include humans as part of the ecosystem. 5-2

The Forest Service should reconsider the historic range of variability as a viable management goal. 5-3

 Due to legal and practical limitations..... 5-3

 Due to the dynamic nature of the historic environment 5-3

The Forest Service should develop plans for managing large-scale disturbances in roadless areas. 5-4

 Long-interval fire regimes..... 5-4

 Catastrophic precipitation and runoff events 5-4

The Forest Service should consider the use of environmental buffer zones..... 5-4

 Around roadless areas 5-4

 Use roadless areas as buffer zones adjacent to wilderness..... 5-5

 Delete any discussion and reference to buffer zones around wilderness 5-5

The Forest Service should address the litter left by recreational users..... 5-5
 Through user financed litter management..... 5-5
 By reducing road access..... 5-5
 By limiting motorized use of the backcountry 5-6
 By allowing motorized users to clean up the backcountry..... 5-6
 The Forest Service should control noise pollution on system lands..... 5-6
 By prohibiting roadbuilding 5-6
 By restricting off-highway vehicle use 5-7
 The Forest Service should preserve roadless areas..... 5-7
 For ecosystem services..... 5-7
 For ecosystem health..... 5-7
 As viable examples of wild unroaded ecosystems 5-8
 The Forest Service should protect a wide range of ecosystem types and elevations..... 5-8
 The Forest Service should consider "Alternative 5" as the most effective way to preserve
 the wild areas. 5-8
 The Forest Service should consider the benefits of human activity to the ecosystem..... 5-9
 The Forest Service should explain how nitrogen from gasoline impacts the environment. 5-9
 The Forest Service should address the impacts of building roads on small sized
 ecosystems. 5-9
 The Forest Service should consider the impacts of cattle on springs, streams, and native
 grasses. 5-9
 The Forest Service should rewrite the ecological factors section in the Draft EIS in an
 objective manner, providing specific quantitative analysis and evidence. 5-10
 The Final EIS should include an analysis of the effects of the proposed rule on species
 diversification and the water cycle. 5-11
 The Forest Service should separate the discussion of the effects of roads from the
 effects of road uses..... 5-11
 The Forest Service should provide data indicating the negative effect of the road system
 on the environment. 5-11
 The Forest Service should address the effects of off-highway vehicle use on roadless
 areas and wilderness values. 5-11
 The Forest Service should use best available science to manage roadless areas..... 5-12
 To assess the importance of roadless areas 5-12
 For timber and fire management 5-12
 For geographic area analysis..... 5-12
 Remote sensing technology..... 5-13
 Adaptive management and monitoring 5-13
 The Forest Service should clarify the difference between reference landscapes and
 research natural areas..... 5-14
 The Forest Service should study the impacts of off-highway vehicles by allowing various
 intensities of use on ecologically similar sites. 5-14
 The Forest Service should use roadless areas to study ambient noise on a forest-by-forest
 basis..... 5-15
 The Forest Service should maintain healthy forests through active management of
 roadless areas. 5-15
 By considering additional alternatives 5-16
 The Forest Service should eliminate timber harvest for “forest health.” 5-16
 Herbicide and pesticide use should be banned in roadless areas. 5-16

The Forest Service should justify its claim that roadless areas are better able to respond to natural disturbances than roaded areas. 5-17

The Final EIS should include an alternative-by-alternative analysis of projected wildfires and projected insect and disease concerns. 5-17

The Forest Service should use active management to control invasive weeds and disease vectors. 5-18

 To prevent blister rust 5-18

The Forest Service should mitigate the spread of weeds. 5-18

The Forest Service should address how disease surveys will be conducted after implementation of the proposed rule. 5-18

The Forest Service should manage humans to mitigate disturbance of native species. 5-18

The Forest Service should consider the influence of roadless areas on the spread of invasive plant species. 5-19

 They prevent spread of weeds 5-19

 They have no effect on the spread of weeds 5-19

The Forest Service should address the role roads and trails play in the introduction and spread of noxious weeds. 5-19

 By roads 5-20

 By vehicles 5-20

The Forest Service should not assume that limiting roads will curtail the spread of insect and disease infestations. 5-21

The Forest Service should analyze the risk of insects and disease in each roadless area. 5-21

The Forest Service should retain fire access roads. 5-21

 For public safety 5-21

 To reduce the need for bulldozer firebreaks 5-22

The criteria for fire management should be based on the needs of each roadless area. 5-22

Slash from timber harvest operations should be removed to reduce fire danger. 5-22

The Forest Service should ban grazing in order to reduce the effects of fires. 5-22

The Forest Service should reevaluate its discussion on page 3-22 of the Draft EIS regarding the effects of the proposed rule on the occurrence of wildfires. 5-23

The Forest Service should complete a site-specific analysis of fire risk in roadless areas. 5-23

The Forest Service should consider the importance of roadless areas for protecting biodiversity. 5-24

 Providing medicines, food and other resources 5-24

The Forest Service should provide stronger protection for biodiversity than is proposed in the DEIS and the “Preferred Alternative.” 5-24

The Forest Service should adopt Alternative 4 because it has the most substantial benefits for biological diversity. 5-25

The Forest Service should apply a landscape/ecoregion approach to biodiversity protection. 5-25

Road construction in roadless areas should be restricted only where necessary to preserve biodiversity. 5-25

The Forest Service should evaluate the effects of roadlessness on biodiversity. 5-25

 Roads benefit biodiversity 5-25

 Roads negatively effect biodiversity 5-26

The Forest Service should conserve non-renewable landscapes. 5-26

The Forest Service should reconsider actively managing for specific successional stages..... 5-26

- Early successional stages should not be artificially maintained..... 5-26
- Protect old growth forests 5-26
- Protect Tongass old growth..... 5-27

The Forest Service should consider the role of fire in roadless area vegetation management. 5-27

The Forest Service should manage forests to protect fungi..... 5-27

The Forest Service should consider the beneficial effects of roads on vegetation. 5-27

The Forest Service should protect roadless areas for wildlife. 5-28

- Non-game species. 5-29

The Forest Service should avoid focusing on single species management. 5-29

The Forest Service should reconsider preserving roadless areas based on the assumption that species are static. 5-29

The Forest Service should ensure that wildlife is not displaced by timber harvest operations..... 5-29

The Forest Service should prohibit disturbance of wildlife during nesting and calving season. 5-29

- By instituting seasonal closures for motorized vehicles 5-29

The Forest Service should create Designated Wildlife Refuge Areas..... 5-30

The Forest Service should maintain buffer zones to protect wildlife diversity. 5-30

The Forest Service should provide comprehensive protection to wildlife populations on the Tongass National Forest..... 5-30

The Forest Service should explain whether the roadless policy will block roads with gates for wildlife management purposes..... 5-30

The Forest Service should remove elk as an example of species dependent on large tracts of roadless areas. 5-31

The Forest Service should use tools such as timber harvesting and controlled burning to aid declining elk herds. 5-31

The Forest Service should preserve habitat for all birds including migrating neotropicals..... 5-31

The proposed rule should ensure protection for the Northern flying squirrel. 5-31

The Forest Service should consider the benefits of active management to wildlife..... 5-33

- Benefits of timber harvest 5-33
- Clearcutting 5-33
- Roads..... 5-33

The Forest Service should evaluate the negative effects of active management on wildlife. 5-34

- Insecticides on National Forest System Lands..... 5-34

The Forest Service should address the effects of motorized and off-highway vehicle recreation on wildlife. 5-34

- No negative effect on wildlife..... 5-34
- Negative effects to wildlife 5-35

The Forest Service should consider that wildlife is not negatively impacted by roads..... 5-35

The Forest Service should clarify that it is road construction rather than the road itself that negatively affects wildlife..... 5-35

The Forest Service should consider the impacts of trails on wildlife. 5-35
 No negative effects to wildlife 5-35
 Negative effects to wildlife 5-35
 The Forest Service should reconsider the comparative effects of hikers and off highway vehicles on wildlife. 5-36
 The Forest Service should consider the effect of the proposed rule on hunting revenues for wildlife management. 5-36
 The Forest Service should conduct species-specific inventories of roadless areas. 5-37
 The Forest Service should address the potential increase for vehicle/animal collisions. 5-37
 The Forest Service should demonstrate the connection between poaching and road access. 5-37
 The Draft EIS should include an analysis of wildlife implications for early successional species. 5-38
 The Forest Service should protect animal habitat by prohibiting timber harvest. 5-38
 The Forest Service should protect roadless areas greater than 1,000 acres but less than 5,000 acres as critical habitat. 5-38
 The Forest Service should protect low elevation roadless areas as valuable winter range.... 5-38
 The Forest Service should protect roadless areas for wildlife dependent on undisturbed habitat. 5-39
 Old growth 5-39
 Interior forest..... 5-39
 The Forest Service should recognize the importance of forests as the principal habitat for pollinators. 5-39
 The Forest Service should allow active management for special exemptions..... 5-39
 Ground bird habitat 5-39
 The Forest Service should consider the effects of human encroachment on wildlife’s winter range. 5-40
 The Forest Service should reshape roadless areas to mitigate the effects of adjacent disturbed areas and maximize interior habitat. 5-40
 The final plan should include an analysis of the effects on big game winter range. 5-40
 The Forest Service should compare the effects of roadless areas and managed forest on wildlife, using comprehensive wildlife data. 5-41
 The Forest Service should base any proposed changes to the management of roads in roadless areas on the potential site specific effects of roads on habitat. 5-41
 The Forest Service should conduct and support research to provide a better understanding of the effects of habitat degradation. 5-41
 The Forest Service should protect roadless areas for habitat connectivity. 5-42
 By including smaller interconnecting areas 5-42
 By adopting alternative 4 5-42
 In the Tongass 5-42
 The Forest Service should develop a management plan that assures wildlife corridors between key wilderness areas. 5-43
 The Forest Service should consider the fragmentation effects of roads. 5-43
 Road fragmentation analysis should include federal, state, and county roads..... 5-44
 The Forest Service should avoid using grizzly bear recovery potential as a measure of effects of the proposed rule on fragmentation. 5-44

The proposed rule should more clearly distinguish between natural and human caused fragmentation. 5-44

The Forest Service should use consistent units of measure when addressing fragmentation. 5-45

The Forest Service should prohibit road-building to protect aquatic species. 5-45

The Forest Service should improve road building practices to protect fish stocks. 5-45

 By paying timber contractors to repair or close roads..... 5-46

The Forest Service should protect the aquatic habitat associated with streams draining late-successional and old-growth forests. 5-46

The Forest Service should acknowledge that science supports the restoration of habitat as the key to the salmon fisheries recovery. 5-46

The Forest Service should maintain roads to improve salmon resources. 5-46

The Forest Service should protect the freshwater mussel populations of the Wolf River in the Holly Springs National Forest through roadless designation. 5-47

The Forest Service should address the effects of poor forest management on aquatic resources. 5-47

The Forest Service should consider the need for roadless areas as threatened and endangered species habitat..... 5-48

 On the Tongass National Forest..... 5-49

 Spotted owl 5-49

The Forest Service should reconsider the availability of appropriate Canada lynx habitat in roadless areas. 5-49

The Forest Service should eliminate roads on national forest to gain wolf habitat. 5-50

The Forest Service should allow timber harvest to improve forage in grizzly bear habitat..... 5-50

The Forest Service should reintroduce grizzly bears and wolves into the lower Rockies and move cattle back to private ranches. 5-50

The Forest Service should consider the effects of excluding inventoried and non-inventoried roadless areas on sensitive, threatened and endangered species. 5-50

The Forest Service should analyze the affects of roads on rare snails and the endangered Apache trout..... 5-50

The Forest Service should clarify discrepancies regarding the number of recovery projects for threatened and endangered species..... 5-51

The Forest Service should protect roadless areas in order to mitigate the negative effects on watersheds. 5-51

The Forest Service should use best management practices to mitigate the effects of road building on water and stream quality..... 5-52

The Forest Service should evaluate the effect of the proposed rule on water yield. 5-52

 For communities and agricultural use 5-52

The Forest Service should conduct forest management to maintain watershed health. 5-52

The Forest Service should prohibit activities that damage watersheds. 5-53

 Timber harvest 5-53

 Until watershed area units can be identified 5-54

 Ban cattle and off-highway vehicles 5-54

The Forest Service should allow timber harvest..... 5-54

 Despite past damage to watersheds..... 5-54

 To improve water yield 5-54

The Forest Service should consider the effects roads and road construction have on watersheds.....	5-55
Drainage patterns	5-55
Sedimentation.....	5-55
The Forest Service should address the handling of toxic substances in roadless areas.....	5-55
The Forest Service should address the effects of log dumps on the marine environment.....	5-56
The Forest Service should consider the effects of agricultural/industrial pollution on watersheds.....	5-56
The Forest Service should consider the importance of roadless areas as sources of clean drinking water.	5-57
Roadless areas are important.....	5-57
Roadless areas are not important.....	5-57
The Forest Service should consider the importance of forests for water retention.	5-57
The Forest Service should address the effects of the proposed action on the access to water resources.....	5-58
The Forest Service should address the effect of the proposed rule on the ability of local authorities to address problems associated with the Total Daily Maximum Load.	5-58
The Forest Service should revise sections of the proposed rule dealing with water resources to include recent scientific information.	5-58
The Forest Service should indicate where impaired watersheds are located in relationship to roadless areas.	5-58
The Cumulative Effects Analysis for water quality should include an assessment of forestry practices and other actions conducted on private inholdings and adjacent lands.	5-59
The Forest Service should not manage lands on steep slopes or with poor and erosive soil.	5-59
The Forest Service should protect unique geologic formations.....	5-60
The Forest Service should consider the effects of certain activities on soil erosion.	5-61
Roads and road construction cause erosion.....	5-61
Off-highway vehicles increase erosion	5-61
Erosion is natural	5-61
The Forest Service should consider that road construction will introduce soil bacteria.	5-61
The Forest Service should address the potential for fire induced soil erosion.	5-62
The Forest Service should verify the primary contributor to erosion in the forest.....	5-62
The landslide risk map on page 3-37 of the Draft EIS should be updated.	5-63
The Forest Service should analyze the geologic hazard mitigation opportunities that will be lost if the proposed rule is implemented.	5-63
The Forest Service should explain how air quality can be better in roadless areas as compared to managed areas.	5-64
The Forest Service should define where Class 1 air quality areas are in relation to National Forest System Lands.	5-64
The Forest Service should not preclude adoption of Alternative 1 because of changes in air quality.	5-64
The Forest Service should evaluate the role of anthropogenic activities on carbon dioxide exchange.	5-64
The Forest Service should refrain from performing prescribed burns in roadless areas because of their effect on air quality.....	5-65

The Forest Service should address the effects of the proposed rule on air quality..... 5-66

The Forest Service should discuss the effects of trees on air quality. 5-66

The Forest Service should evaluate the amount of air pollution created by snowmobiles.... 5-66

The Forest Service should acknowledge that significant air quality problems on National Forest System lands are not due to sources on those lands..... 5-67

The Forest Service should consider the effectiveness of roadless area protection in mitigating global environmental problems. 5-68

 Protection will have a positive effect 5-68

 Protection will have a negative effect 5-68

National policies should be aimed at minimizing the consequences of global warming and drought. 5-68

The Forest Service should address the resource pressures the proposed rule would place on global forests. 5-68

The Forest Service should address the importation of forest products. 5-69

The Forest Service should avoid accessing new areas until we understand more about greenhouse warming and global climate change. 5-69

The Forest Service should implement the proposed rule for world ecological welfare. 5-70

Chapter 6 Human Uses and National Forest System Land Management 6-1

The Forest Service should clarify whether citizens have the right to treat federal lands as their private property. 6-2

The Forest Service should focus management on achievement of a fair balance of uses while minimizing damage to the environment..... 6-3

The Forest Service should restrict all commercial and recreational activities that threaten the health and integrity of the ecosystem..... 6-3

The Forest Service should increase restrictions on companies that extract natural resources from roadless areas to encourage greater efficiency..... 6-4

Best management practices for timber harvest, road construction, and mining should be consistently implemented and evaluated for effectiveness..... 6-5

The Forest Service should include the Tongass National Forest in logging and road building restrictions to prevent irremediable damage to soils, wildlife, and the tourism and subsistence economies which depend upon them..... 6-5

The Forest Service should refocus forest travelway system management on the needs of all users rather than those of the commercial timber industry..... 6-7

 Non-timber forest products industry 6-7

 Recreational users 6-7

The Forest Service should streamline the road system by maintaining arterial roads while closing smaller peripheral routes and logging roads..... 6-8

The Forest Service should reduce road densities on a forest-by-forest basis through the forest planning process. 6-8

 Collaboratively develop strategic travel management plans..... 6-8

The Forest Service should not classify currently unclassified roads. 6-8

The Forest Service should provide management direction for “Public Roads.” 6-9

The Forest Service should enumerate and quantify the foreseeable impacts of road system management on roadless ecosystems..... 6-9

 Existing road system 6-9

 Road construction and reconstruction, general 6-9

 Cumulative effects of road construction in Alaska 6-10

The final EIS should identify all projects affected by the road building moratorium and better elaborate on the need for the proposed rule. 6-10

The Forest Service should base its effects analysis on the impacts of temporary rather than permanent roads. 6-10

The Forest Service should implement the proposed prohibition on road construction and reconstruction..... 6-12

The Forest Service should prohibit road construction and reconstruction in all portions of inventoried roadless areas, including the “roaded” portions. 6-12

The Forest Service should end road building to prevent adverse impacts to the ecosystem caused by greater public access. 6-13

 Increased poaching of plants and wildlife..... 6-13

The Forest Service should demonstrate the connection between poaching and road access. 6-13

The Forest Service should open existing roads rather build new roads..... 6-14

The final rule should assure no net gain of road miles by requiring removal of equal road mileage for every special exemption. 6-14

The Forest Service should halt reconstruction on the Loop Road in Fremont County, Wyoming..... 6-14

The final rule should allow stabilization but not full reconstruction of badly deteriorated roads. 6-14

The Forest Service should not allow temporary roads to be built. 6-14

The Forest Service should allow temporary roads for timber harvest as long as they are promptly closed..... 6-15

Roads associated with timber harvest projects should be built to minimum standards, restored to natural conditions upon completion of the project, and paid for by the timber purchaser..... 6-15

The Forest Service should allow temporary roads for salvage harvest. 6-15

The Forest Service should reassess the assumption in the Draft EIS that logging roads built into a roadless areas would remain open to the public. 6-15

Roads built for logging and maintenance should be made off limits to the general public by means of barriers and gates..... 6-16

Stringent road building standards need to be implemented to protect salmon fisheries and the fishing industry..... 6-16

Funding should be linked in any timber sale plan to safeguard stricter road building standards. 6-16

The Forest Service should explain how best management practices for road construction minimize environmental impacts. 6-16

The Forest Service should consider the use of plastic culverts for road projects. 6-16

The Forest Service should clarify whether the final rule will prohibit road maintenance in inventoried roadless areas. 6-17

The Forest Service should revise guidelines for maintenance and reconstruction to ensure that existing roads are preserved in useful condition. 6-17

The Forest Service should delete the reference to road maintenance on page 1-4 of the Draft EIS or address maintenance as part of an alternative. 6-17

The Forest Service should consider road construction and road reconstruction separately. 6-17

The Forest Service should reconsider the buffer width between existing roads and roadless areas. 6-17

Road buffers should be clearly defined and ecologically justified by the Forest Service. 6-18

The Forest Service should clarify whether there will be a setback between roadless areas and adjacent state highways that would allow for road reconstruction. 6-18

The final rule should permit road construction, realignment, and reconstruction of federal and state highway systems. 6-18

The final rule should be consistent with commitments made in the Environmental Streamlining National Memorandum of Understanding regarding expedited highway and transit projects. 6-20

The Forest Service should limit exceptions for road construction in the proposed rule. 6-20

The Forest Service should narrow the exception language for catastrophic fire. 6-21

 Require inholders to take responsibility for fireproofing property 6-21

The final rule should narrow the exemption language for protection of property to “constructed property.” 6-21

Rather than authorizing the removal of hazard trees in the exemption for public safety, the final rule should include guidelines for alternative hazard management strategies. ... 6-22

The Forest Service should relax the exemption for public health and safety. 6-22

The Forest Service should insert “A road is needed to carry out the multiple uses provided for in the authorities cited for these regulations” following Section 294.12(b)(4) of the Draft EIS. 6-22

The Forest Service should consider broadening exceptions in the final rule to include special use permits for scientific research projects. 6-23

Any exceptions made in the proposed rule should be subjected to the proper National Environmental Policy Act analysis process and independent scientific review. 6-23

While the proposed rule claims to ban only new roads, the Forest Service should clarify whether it would lead to closure of existing roads and trails. 6-24

The Forest Service should report the rate of current road decommissioning and the effects of the rule in the Final EIS. 6-25

The Forest Service should assure no net loss of road and trail miles. 6-25

The Forest System should maintain access to the road system for search and rescue. 6-25

The Forest Service should permanently close roads not essential to forest maintenance. 6-26

The Forest Service should install physical barriers on many existing roads to minimize environmental damage from vehicular access. 6-26

The Forest Service should convert decommissioned roads to non-motorized trails. 6-26

The Forest Service should give priority to closing private roads which extend into the national forests but which are not accessible to the public. 6-27

The final rule should restore inventoried roadless areas by decommissioning roads and returning these areas to their unroaded conditions. 6-27

The Forest Service should pursue the decommissioning of specific roads. 6-27

The Forest Service should not decommission roads. 6-28

The Forest Service should reconsider the methods used to decommission roads. 6-28

 Do not cover roads with cut brush 6-28

 Repair tank traps 6-28

 Reseed or abandon rather than recontour 6-28

 Remove asphalt pavement on decommissioned roads 6-28

The process of closing or decommissioning roads can be more environmentally destructive than the presence of roads. 6-28

The Forest Service should convert existing roads to trails rather than eliminate them. 6-29

The Forest Service should clarify whether the proposed rule would affect new trail construction and maintenance. 6-30

The proposed rule should not be implemented because it bans most “ground disturbing activities” which will preclude necessary trail maintenance and construction. 6-30

The Forest Service should avoid building trails in roadless areas. 6-30

The final rule should prohibit the construction of new motorized trails in roadless areas. ... 6-30

The final rule should replace the phrase “of any size” with language limiting trail size in inventoried roadless areas to U.S. Forest Service pack and saddle standards unless otherwise authorized in the forest plan. 6-31

The Forest Service should increase the number of hiking trails in roadless areas. 6-31

The final rule should allow construction of trails for mechanized and motorized users. 6-31

 The Forest Service should not use the threat of lawsuits to limit trail building 6-31

When the roadless areas are created, any nearby trails that are partially on county roads should be rerouted through the roadless area. 6-31

The Forest Service should maintain rather than close damaged motorized trails. 6-32

The Forest Service should clarify whether ATV trails will be considered roadless and ATVs banned. 6-32

The Forest Service should decommission illegally established roads in inventoried roadless areas. 6-33

The Forest Service should close all unauthorized or unofficial roads to all forms of motorized travel. 6-33

The Forest Service should prevent dirtbikes and all-terrain vehicles from converting trails into all-terrain vehicle roads. 6-33

The Forest Service should not encourage the creation of illegal trails by incorporating them into the official trail system. 6-33

The Forest Service should prevent Illegal trail development by maintaining existing trails and creating more trails. 6-34

The Forest Service should address how it will meet its obligation to “furnish a continuous supply of timber for the use of U.S. citizens.” 6-36

The proposed rule will place greater demands on international timber resources. 6-36

The proposed rule will not reduce overall logging levels on public forests because timber extraction quotas will be made up from different areas of the national forests. ... 6-37

 Explicitly include this outcome in an alternative 6-37

The Forest Service should institute a policy to encourage the public to reduce the consumption of forest resources. 6-37

Consumers should be taxed on the purchase of nonrenewable resources to aid in lowering the consumption of these resources. 6-37

The Forest Service should the No Action Alternative because we do not know what society will need in the future. 6-37

The Forest Service should end timber harvest in roadless areas because private timberlands can adequately supply the nation’s wood product needs. 6-38

The Forest Service should acknowledge that current timber harvest methods do not result in the destructive effects of past practices. 6-38

The Forest Service should end logging in the national forests. 6-38

 End logging in the Prescott National Forest..... 6-38

The Forest Service should assure the final rule restricts timber harvest to a much greater degree than in the Preferred Alternative. 6-39

 The Preferred Alternative does not meet President Clinton’s mandate 6-39

The Forest Service should restrict timber harvest in roadless areas because past levels are clearly unsustainable. 6-39

The final rule should prohibit logging in roadless areas. 6-39

 Buffer wilderness areas 6-40

 Focus on second-growth stands in roaded areas to meet timber harvest needs..... 6-40

The Forest Service should allow timber harvest in roadless areas because second growth in roaded areas will not be harvestable for decades. 6-40

The final rule should prohibit harvest of old growth forests. 6-41

 Halt logging of any stand of trees 200 years or older and greater than 10 acres..... 6-41

The Forest Service should preserve the remaining intact forests from harvest because replanted tree monocultures are an inadequate replacement. 6-41

The final rule should not allow timber harvesting on the Tongass National Forest due to unacceptable impacts. 6-42

The Forest Service should reassess the exception made in Alternative 3 for timber harvest for stewardship purposes. 6-42

Alternative 3 should not allow an exception for the salvage removal of fallen and dead timber. 6-42

The Forest Service should stop all logging projects being planned in roadless areas. 6-42

The Forest Service should address whether additional timber harvest will occur after the year 2004..... 6-42

The Forest Service should discontinue giving Plum Creek Corporation timber credits..... 6-43

The Forest Service should continue active timber management on Forest System lands. 6-43

The Forest Service should allow roads for timber management within the National Forest System lands. 6-43

The Forest Service should not adopt the proposed rule inasmuch as it is just an excuse for the fact that national forests have failed to meet their own set targets for timber production within existing forest plans..... 6-44

The Forest Service should not set aside any matrix forest as unroaded under the proposed rule..... 6-44

The Forest Service should keep its promise to Minnesota residents regarding timber cutting outside the Boundary Waters Canoe Area. 6-44

The Forest Service should mandate the use of better management practices for timber harvest. 6-45

 Improve slash management..... 6-45

The Forest Service prohibit clearcutting..... 6-45

The Forest Service should use alternative timber removal methods to minimize environmental damage. 6-46

 Log over snow..... 6-46

 Log with horses..... 6-46

The Forest Service should address the effective range of harvester/forwarders. 6-46

The proposed rule should protect areas from the creation of skid trails by forwarders and other mechanical harvesting equipment..... 6-46

Helicopter logging should be allowed. 6-46

 Only where scientifically supported for harvest of dead or diseased trees 6-47

The Forest Service should not allow helicopter or cable logging because of negative impacts on flora, fauna, and fire hazard in roadless areas. 6-47

The rule should clearly state that if the trees can't be replaced within 25 to 30 years maximum, then they should not be cut or considered a legitimate harvest. 6-47

The Forest Service should require that timber contactors replant twice the harvested acreage. 6-48

Reforestation should be carried out with more than one species of tree. 6-48

The Forest Service should explain how timber management alters the character of a roadless area..... 6-49

The Forest Service should disclose the effects of the proposed rule on the mix of stewardship and commodity timber harvesting. 6-49

The Final EIS should include an analysis of logging levels by volume and elevation classes to present a more appropriately scaled analysis of the potential benefits of including the Tongass National Forest. 6-49

The Forest Service should address the effects on timber harvest levels of specific forests..... 6-50

The Forest Service should correct claims of decreased clearcutting in the Draft EIS by including the amount of all even-aged harvest methods..... 6-50

The Forest Service should address the expansion of timber harvest units to increase volume output in the Tongass and whether an EIS must be completed for this..... 6-50

The Forest Service should provide current baseline timber harvesting information. 6-51

The Forest Service should conduct a quantitative analysis of suitable timber base in roadless areas and effects of the rule on timber sale volumes. 6-51

The Forest Service should address the effects of the proposed rule on allowable sale quantities and timber suitability outside of roadless areas..... 6-52

The Forest Service should consider whether the proposed rule will restrict effective access for forest management activities..... 6-54

The Forest Service should permit timber harvest in roadless areas for forest health. 6-55

 Timber should be harvested rather than left to burn or be destroyed by insects and disease.. 6-55

 Allow road building for stewardship harvest..... 6-55

The Forest Service should use management practices to remove or thin trees/fuel before fire occurs. 6-56

 Only in urban interface areas 6-57

The Forest Service should address whether natural processes, such as fire, are far more destructive than logging..... 6-57

The Forest Service should not build new roads because existing roads provide adequate access for management activities..... 6-57

The Forest Service should focus management efforts on restoration. 6-58

The Forest Service should define what it means by restoration forestry and determine the most appropriate lands for treatment. 6-58
 In consultation with independent scientists..... 6-58

The final rule should permit stewardship timber harvest only to restore natural forest structure and composition. 6-59

The final rule should assure that stewardship timber harvest is not standard commercial harvest under another name. 6-59
 Ban all timber harvest in roadless areas 6-60

The Forest Service should establish criteria to identify forest health conditions that would require entry into roadless areas. 6-60

The Forest Service should allow thinning of undergrowth in roadless areas if there is a protocol for the maximum diameter of stems and trunks that will be removed. 6-60
 Restrict logging to trees eight inches in diameter or less 6-60

The Forest Service should reevaluate and scientifically justify the claim that Alternative 3 will have fewer local negative effects than Alternative 4 due to benefits from stewardship logging..... 6-61

The Forest Service should award experimental contracts for stewardship timber harvest and contract any commercially valuable timber separately. 6-61

In order to reduce fuel loads and achieve a healthier balance of flora, the Forest Service should provide funding for meeting stewardship objectives independent of the economic value of the timber that needs to be removed..... 6-61

The Forest Service should allow natural processes to predominate in wild forests. 6-62

The final rule should eliminate the exception for salvage logging after catastrophic events. 6-62

The final rule should permit salvage logging. 6-63

The Forest Service should describe the effects each alternative would have on fire suppression tactics. 6-64

The Forest Service should adequately plan, fund, and carry out management techniques that will progressively rid the areas involved of the fire hazard due to dead fuel accumulations. 6-65

The Forest Service should develop plans for managing catastrophic fire situations in roadless areas. 6-65

The Forest Service should not compromise wildland firefighters’ safety by lack of roads. . 6-65

The Forest Service should be pro-active by building roads to reduce fire risk. 6-65

Road maintenance for emergency access should be limited to buffer area firebreaks. 6-65

The Forest Service should not build roads into roadless areas for fire control..... 6-66

Fire management should not be used as an excuse for road construction or logging..... 6-66

Timber harvest, through its effects on forest structure, local microclimate, and fuel accumulation, has dramatically increased fire severity and thus the Forest Service should reject commercial timber harvest for fire reduction..... 6-67

Given the changes in forest structure and increased catastrophic fire hazard caused by cattle grazing, the Forest Service should prohibit this activity in roadless areas targeted for fireproofing treatments. 6-68

The Forest Service should encourage grazing in order to reduce the likelihood fires..... 6-68

The Forest Service should reassess the assertion that fire hazard is greater in roaded areas than it is in roadless areas. 6-68

The Forest Service should consider implementing prescribed burns. 6-69

The Forest Service should balance use of prescribed burns and timber harvest.	6-69
The Forest Service should harvest timber to control stocking levels before fire can be introduced.	6-69
The Forest Service should redefine and narrow the parameters for the use of controlled burning.	6-70
Government agencies should implement a moratorium on prescribed burns.	6-70
The final rule should provide detailed analysis of its effects on grazing allotments.	6-71
The roadless area rule should not interfere with access to range facilities and stock animals.	6-71
The Forest Service should address the issue of whether livestock grazing should be permitted in roadless areas.	6-72
Allow livestock grazing.	6-72
Restrict or prohibit livestock grazing.	6-72
Decisions about grazing and grazing permits should be made at a national level, not left for local managers to decide.	6-72
The Forest Service should place limits on grazing such as reducing herd size and protecting riparian areas.	6-73
The Forest Service should not allow cattle grazing in riparian areas or in areas above 3,000 feet in elevation, and should severely limit grazing below 3,000 feet.	6-73
The Forest Service should not pay hunters to kill animals to make the public lands safe for sheep and cows.	6-73
The Forest Service should provide baseline information on leasable minerals, geology, and hard rock minerals currently found on National Forest System lands.	6-75
The Forest Service should analyze in detail mineral resources and possible restrictions the proposed rule may impose on their development.	6-76
Palladium/platinum mineral resources in the Stillwater Complex.	6-77
Coal deposits in the Cow Creek Buttes roadless area.	6-78
Existing coal leases overlapping inventoried roadless areas in Utah.	6-78
Mineral resources in Sky Lakes B roadless area.	6-79
The Forest Service should conduct inventories for the occurrence of mineral deposits before designating roadless areas.	6-79
The Forest Service should insert “(10) Commodity resource supply potential” following Section 294.13(a)(9) in the Draft EIS.	6-79
The final EIS should specify the anticipated delay for processing road construction applications for mineral development while unroaded areas are being inventoried.	6-79
The Final Rule should specify that all reasonable alternatives to entry must be considered prior to exceptions for CERCLA (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act) projects.	6-80
The Forest Service should explain why conserving roadless characteristics increases the number of areas recommended for mineral withdrawal.	6-80
Roadless areas should remain open to roadbuilding for mineral exploration and development activities.	6-80
The Forest Service should clarify procedures to determine what is “considered reasonable and necessary” to develop a lease and how that determination will be made.	6-81
The Forest Service should not require mineral leaseholders with “valid existing rights” to prepare an environmental impact statement for mining exploration and development.	6-81

The Forest Service should assure that the final rule will not restrict existing mineral leases such that lessees will require federal reimbursement for leasing fees. 6-81

The final rule should restrict mineral development in roadless areas due to unacceptable human and environmental health risks. 6-82

The Forest Service should limit access to mining claims in inventoried roadless areas to non-motorized methods or helicopters. 6-82

The Forest Service should allow only small-scale mining by hand..... 6-82

The Forest Service should prohibit strip mining..... 6-82

The Forest Service should not allow recreational placer mining..... 6-83

The Forest Service should consider all available means of restricting mineral leases, including lease expiration and prohibition of communitization agreements. 6-83

The Forest Service should utilize its authority to withdraw roadless areas from mineral entry. 6-83

Modify section 294.13 to require consideration of mineral withdrawal in implementation process 6-84

The Forest Service should develop regulations for “grandfathered” mining claims in roadless areas. 6-84

The Forest Service should purchase all mineral rights on National Forest System lands to stop oil and gas and hard rock mining. 6-84

The Forest Service should protect specific roadless areas from mining activity..... 6-84

The Sand Creek area 6-84

The Forest Service should address whether track-mounted core drills will be allowed to travel cross-country in inventoried roadless areas. 6-85

The Forest Service should adequately address oil and gas operations in the draft proposal..... 6-85

An exception for leasable mineral development should be allowed in the final rule. 6-85

North Dakota Grasslands 6-86

Targhee National Forest..... 6-86

The Forest Service should consider allowing oil and gas development on a carefully controlled basis. 6-86

The Forest Service should limit the amount of methane wells allotted on a certain amount of acreage. 6-86

Oil and gas development should not be allowed in the national forests. 6-87

A shortcoming of the Preferred Alternative is that it does not preclude oil and gas leasing, but does restrict road access for any new leases in roadless areas. 6-87

The Forest Service should promote alternate sources of energy. 6-87

The Forest Service should promote alternative materials as substitutes for traditional wood products..... 6-88

Alternative building materials..... 6-88

The Forest Service should adopt a pro industrial hemp policy..... 6-88

The Forest Service should consider the environmental impacts of increased use of alternatives to wood fiber..... 6-89

The Forest Service must develop a cumulative effects section to describe the effects on public utility infrastructure. 6-90

The Forest Service should issue a decision to reroute Collier Hollow Road #2780 so that utility installation can proceed. 6-90

The roadless area rule should not interfere with the building, maintenance, or operation of water holding and delivery systems.....	6-90
Allow access for maintenance to protect public health and safety.....	6-91
The proposed rule should not interfere with the building, maintenance, or operation of electrical facilities.	6-91
By including utility maintenance in the exception for public health and safety	6-92
The Forest Service should allow access to stream gauges, climate stations, and snow survey data sites (SNOTEL).	6-93
The Forest Service should prohibit new human developments and water projects.....	6-93
The Forest Service should clarify the effects of the final rule on future ski area operations.....	6-94
Ski resort boundaries operated under a current master development plan should be exempted from prohibitions in the final rule.	6-95
The Forest Service should exempt ski areas with approved records of decision from the proposed rule.....	6-95
The Forest Service should address the fact that the proposed rule will effectively modify current Inyo National Forest special use permits in favor of the Mammoth Mountain Ski Area.	6-96
The Forest Service should allow the proposed Pelican Butte Ski area to continue to be studied.....	6-96
Contrary to the conclusion in the Draft EIS, the Pelican Butte Ski Area would provide more dispersed recreation opportunities than an undeveloped Sky Lakes B roadless area.....	6-97
The Forest Service should consider whether to exempt the Pelican Butte Ski Area proposal from the final rule.....	6-97
Exempt Pelican Butte.....	6-97
Do not exempt Pelican Butte.....	6-98
The Forest Service should consider whether to exempt Hogback Basin to allow the expansion of the White Pass Ski Area.	6-99
Exempt Hogback Basin.....	6-99
Do Not Exempt Hogback Basin.....	6-99
The final rule should not create any special exemptions of any kind for new ski area construction or expansion of current areas.	6-99
The Forest Service should assure that prohibitions in the final rule cover proposed ski areas that did not have a record of decision signed by October 13, 1999.....	6-100
The Final EIS should explicitly state that helicopter skiing is a suitable dispersed recreational activity in designated roadless areas.	6-100
The final rule should not alter snowcat skiing special use permits without due process.....	6-101
The Forest Service should address the impacts of the proposed rule on hunting.	6-102
The proposed rule will negatively affect hunting.....	6-102
The proposed rule will positively affect hunting	6-102
The Forest Service should consider the impacts of crowding hunters when access to public land is restricted.	6-102
The Forest Service should provide a quantitative analysis of the effects of the proposed rule on hunting and fishing use.	6-103
Clarify how this proposal will affect hunting access to lands acquired in the future.	6-103

The Forest Service should delete the non-game species discussion on page 3-176 from the hunting and fishing section of the Final EIS. 6-103

Hunting and fishing should be prohibited in roadless areas. 6-103

The Forest Service should address “road hunting” and its impacts on wildlife and the environment. 6-104

The Forest Service should allow road building and access to aid hunting activities..... 6-104

The Forest Service should prohibit road building and stewardship logging in roadless areas in order to provide an abundance of wildlife to hunters and sightseers. 6-104

 Select Alternative 4..... 6-105

The Forest Service should address whether research supports the claim that better quality hunting and fishing is found in roadless areas..... 6-105

The final rule should not interfere with current dispersed recreation outputs or the ability to continue controlled burns within walk-in turkey hunting areas. 6-105

The proposed rule should allow for state wildlife agencies to perform their responsibilities. 6-105

The Forest Service should address the effects of the proposed rule on access to non-timber forest products and on rural communities dependent on these products. 6-106

The Forest Service should not allow the collection of non-timber forest products in roadless areas. 6-106

The Forest Service should allow access for fire wood cutting and gathering. 6-106

 Do not limit firewood cutting to within 300 feet of Forest Service roads..... 6-107

The Forest Service should clarify if municipalities and private companies would be required to stop traveling into public lands for gathering of wild seed. 6-107

The Forest Service should protect roadless areas as a source of medicines and of genetic diversity for improved agricultural crops. 6-107

The Forest Service should address access to cultural and historical sites. 6-108

 Maintain access to avoid adverse effects 6-108

 Limit access to prevent discovery and/or damage..... 6-109

Given that the proximity of a road is the best predictor of whether an archeological site will be vandalized, the final rule should protect roadless areas..... 6-109

 By keeping existing road definition 6-109

European cultural artifacts should be valued as much as Native American cultural artifacts..... 6-110

As required by Council on Environmental Quality Regulations, the Forest Service should conduct a heritage resources cumulative effects analysis for all alternatives..... 6-110

The Forest Service should disclose the effects of the final rule on federal agencies’ ability to fulfill their requirement to inventory all lands for cultural properties..... 6-110

The Forest Service should comply with the National Historic Preservation Act by identifying cultural/historical properties and analyzing what impacts the proposed rule may have on them..... 6-110

 By providing sufficient funding to field archaeologists..... 6-110

The Final EIS should provide a detailed analysis of the paleontological resources that are present in areas proposed for closure..... 6-111

The Forest Service should allow road access for the excavation and preservation of paleontological resources..... 6-111

The Forest Service should consider that the proposed rule will restrict access for recreation..... 6-113

The Forest Service should consider that the proposed rule will restrict access for the elderly, very young, and/or handicapped. 6-113

The Forest Service should clearly state that access to National Forest System lands means the legal right for a person to simply be present on public land and has nothing to do with permitted activities or mode of transportation..... 6-114

There are more than enough roads to meet the needs of the elderly and handicapped and allow them to experience public wildlands. 6-114

The Forest Service should refute the contention that non-motorized activities in roadless areas are limited to the wealthy, elite, and physically fit..... 6-114

The public should be allowed to travel on roads that provide access to existing camping and/or recreational areas. 6-115

The Forest Service should consider a rotation system for open and closed roads..... 6-115

The Forest Service should limit the use of motorized vehicles to necessary access by either authorized agency personnel or handicapped persons on designated routes... 6-115

The Forest Service should develop special permits for disabled individuals. 6-116

The Forest Service should allow motorized access to only those over 65 years of age. 6-116

In some roadless areas the Forest Service should provide senior citizen paths, wheelchair access, and short loops for sightseeing..... 6-116

The Forest Service should make special efforts to provide wilderness opportunities for the physically challenged. 6-116

The final rule should allow development of new campgrounds in roadless areas..... 6-116

 Develop remote interior camping sites..... 6-116

The Forest Service should consider installing additional trailhead or parking areas. 6-117

The Forest Service should consider introducing public transportation. 6-117

The Forest Service should prohibit low over-flights of aircraft except in case of emergency. 6-117

The final rule should explicitly guarantee aviation access to existing public use airports within designated roadless areas..... 6-117

The Forest Service should keep existing roads and trails open to public access..... 6-118

The Forest Service should retain roads for logging in an unmaintained state and open to off-road vehicle use. 6-119

The Forest Service should not close unmaintained roads. Unmaintained roads should not become classified or upgraded for travel in stock vehicles. 6-119

If a road is of historical or scenic significance, the Forest Service should not take away recreational access. 6-120

The Forest Service should address the impacts of the proposed rule on the status of specific roads or trails. 6-120

The proposed rule should include provisions to keep trail and road access open for trail dependent competitions. 6-120

 Non-motorized 6-120

 Motorized 6-120

The Forest Service should reverse its road closure and restriction policy by opening gated roads. 6-121

The Forest Service should open blocked roads on National Forest System land in case of fire..... 6-121

The general public should be allowed access to the same public lands as people with leases or special use permits. 6-121

The Forest Service should not allow guides to take four wheelers or horses on logging roads closed to the general public..... 6-121

If a road has already been improved to the status of an “all weather” road, access should be granted to the public..... 6-122

National forest roadless areas should have hiking trails which are not open to vehicle use..... 6-122

The Forest Service should allow continued motorized recreation in national forest lands..... 6-124

 It causes no long term damage..... 6-125

The Forest Service should consider the impacts of vehicle use and the conduct of the users on the National Forest System resources..... 6-125

The Forest Service should designate roaded areas that can be sacrificed for destruction by off-road vehicle uses..... 6-126

 set on rotating basis for off-road motorized recreation..... 6-126

The Forest Service should implement a series of linked trails for off-highway vehicle access..... 6-126

The proposed rule should restrict motorized recreational use..... 6-126

The Forest Service should eliminate off-road travel..... 6-126

Snowmobile use should be allowed in the national forests..... 6-127

 Off-trail travel..... 6-127

The Forest Service should develop continuous trail systems for snowmobilers to minimize illegal entry and reduce safety hazards..... 6-127

The Forest Service should clarify whether the Wayne National Forest is slated to be closed to off-road motorized access..... 6-127

The Forest Service should allow motorized off-highway vehicle recreation in roadless areas..... 6-127

The final EIS should prohibit off-highway-vehicles in roadless areas..... 6-128

The final rule should immediately ban motorized off-road vehicles from roadless areas..... 6-128

 Ban watercraft and powerboats..... 6-129

The Forest Service should ban snowmobiles from roadless areas..... 6-129

The proposed rule should clearly define how snowmobiling and snowmobile trails will be effected..... 6-129

The Forest Service should justify its reasoning for failing to restrict off-highway vehicle use in the proposed rule..... 6-130

The Forest Service should incorporate nationwide restrictions on off-highway vehicle use into the proposed rule or should initiate a separate rulemaking process to regulate such use..... 6-130

The Forest Service should establish clear and permanent guidelines for the use of off-highway vehicles on public lands..... 6-131

The management of off-road vehicles should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis..... 6-131

The Forest Service should divide national forests in half with one side open to motorized vehicle use and the other closed to motorized vehicles..... 6-132

The Forest Service should consider a permit system to limit numbers of motor vehicles. . 6-132

The Forest Service should limit the hours during which off-road vehicles may operate during hunting season..... 6-132

The Forest Service should require the use of lower-emission off-highway vehicles. 6-132
 By setting reachable goals and mandates for pollution and noise..... 6-133

The Forest Service should place more restrictions on personal watercraft, such as jet skis..... 6-133

The Forest Service should not limit use of 2-stroke watercraft engines..... 6-133

The Forest Service should restrict motorized vehicles to designated routes. 6-133

Off-road vehicles should be allowed only on designated and signed official trails. 6-134

The Forest Service should restrict off-road vehicles to established roads only and ban them from trails. 6-134

The Forest Service should adopt a “closed unless signed open” policy effective as soon as possible. 6-134

The Forest Service should not develop or implement a nationwide policy of “Closed Unless Posted Open” for off-highway vehicles. 6-135

The Forest Service should recognize and encourage more volunteer work by the off-highway vehicle community in road and trail maintenance. 6-135

The Forest Service should require that off-highway vehicle groups adopt and maintain trails to standard to prevent closure. 6-136

The Forest Service should not maintain roads because recreational users enjoy the challenges they provide..... 6-136

Mechanized recreation should be restricted or banned in national forests. 6-137

The Forest Service should allow mechanized activities in roadless areas..... 6-137

The Forest Service should consider protecting national forest lands from all but human powered recreation activity..... 6-137

Roadless areas should be restricted to foot traffic only. 6-138
 For personal health and environmental benefits 6-138

Equestrian use should be restricted in roadless areas. 6-138

Horses should be allowed in roadless areas. 6-138

The Forest Service should provide adequate parking space for horse trailers at trailheads. 6-139

The Forest Service should permit sled dog training, sledding, and racing in roadless areas. 6-139

The Forest Service should considering restricting all human entry to roadless areas. 6-140

The Forest Service should protect ecologically sensitive areas from all damaging recreational activities. 6-140

The final EIS should re-analyze the conclusion that the rule will have a detrimental effect on recreation. 6-142
 Alternative T1 6-142

The Forest Service should provide data to support the claim that roadless designations will benefit recreation. 6-142

The Forest Service should undertake a formal study to determine who is actually using the national forests. 6-142

The Forest Service should display a graph illustrating the increasing demand for dispersed recreation against the decreasing supply of available land for recreation. 6-142

The final rule should quantify the usage for each class in the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum. 6-143

The Forest Service should disclose dispersed recreation data. 6-143

The Interdisciplinary Team should consider addressing the effects of the proposed rule on subcategories of the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum. 6-143

Recreational use of public lands should be considered separately from commercial uses in the proposed rule. 6-143

The Forest Service should consider the population growth trends and implications for recreation demand levels. 6-144

The Forest Service should address whether the proposed rule will result in a large recreation land base for a very small segment of the population. 6-144

The Forest Service should protect roadless areas for the benefit of dispersed non-motorized backcountry recreationists. 6-144

The Forest Service should address the issue of crowding of motorized users on National Forest System lands. 6-145

The Forest Service should address the fact that motorized access is inherently incompatible with the very qualities users want to experience. 6-146

The Forest Service should address the increasing conflicts between types of recreational users. 6-146

The Forest Service should facilitate the use of less utilized roadless resources. 6-147

The Forest Service should develop a plan to accommodate displaced off-highway vehicle users. 6-147

The Draft EIS should include an evaluation of the impact of displaced usage on all National Forest System lands. 6-147

Natural phenomena cause more environmental damage than human recreational or commercial activities, so restricting human activities will not solve these environmental problems. 6-148

The Forest Service should address whether wildlife are more threatened by foot traffic or by motor vehicles. 6-148

 Foot Traffic 6-148

 Motorized vehicles/off-highway vehicles 6-148

The Final EIS should include an analysis of the cumulative effects of numerous concurrent national and regional planning processes on recreation. 6-148

 Motorized 6-149

The Forest Service should develop additional alternatives to ensure recreation management is carried out within the scope of the proposed rule and Roads Management Strategy. 6-149

Rather than close areas or restrict use, the Forest Service should educate people about protecting the land. 6-150

The Forest Service should educate the public about the differences between national parks and national forests. 6-151

In the event that everything is closed, the Forest Service should issue permits to individual users, then hold those individuals accountable for any trash left behind or environmental damage done. 6-151

The Forest Service should consider off-highway vehicle permits that include a tread lightly or similar training course as a mandatory prerequisite for traveling. 6-151

The Forest Service should print trail guides for off-road vehicle users. 6-152

The Forest Service should place interpreters in the campgrounds of the National Forest System. 6-152

The Forest Service should post wildlife signs to make the public aware of when they are in ecologically sensitive areas..... 6-152

The Forest Service should enforce off-highway vehicle use restrictions in roadless areas. 6-152

The Forest Service should provide better monitoring and enforcement to responsibly accommodate all users. 6-153

Fines should be imposed on off-highway vehicle riders who go off designated trails..... 6-153

The Forest Service should enforce out-of-bounds and illegal snowmobile use. 6-153

The Forest Service should only allow motorized recreation in limited areas where the agency has sufficient resources to actively enforce regulations. 6-153

The Forest Service should adjust personnel work schedules to assure weekend patrols for motorized travel enforcement..... 6-153

The licensing of all-terrain vehicles should be encouraged to aid in the enforcement of users’ illegal actions. 6-154

The Forest Service should revise the proposed rules governing Cost Recovery for Processing Special Use Applications and Monitoring Compliance with Special Use Authorizations..... 6-154

The final rule should include explicit monitoring and enforcement plans for all prohibitions. 6-154

The Forest Service should address the unwillingness of local Forest Service staff to implement roadless protection. 6-154

Forest Service employees do not support this proposed rule..... 6-155

The Forest Service should assure that the final rule will be consistently implemented and enforced..... 6-155

The Forest Service should reevaluate statements concerning the loss of open space as a rationale for the proposed rule. 6-156

The Forest Service should display quantitatively the relationship between urban areas, populations, and roadless areas in the United States. 6-156

The Forest Service should address access to public land through private land..... 6-156

The Forest Service should allow homesteading and long-term camping on areas thought appropriate by local communities..... 6-157

The Forest Service should consider the effects of the final rule on state trust land inholdings..... 6-157

Make roadless areas adjacent to state trust lands available for mineral leasing..... 6-159

The Forest Service should address the impacts of proposed rule on access for inholders..... 6-159

The Forest Service should acknowledge that easement by necessity doctrine, whereby a grantor cannot landlock his grantee, also applies to the federal government. 6-160

The Forest Service should allow the construction of private roads in close proximity to designated roadless areas to minimize environmental damage. 6-160

The Forest Service should allow access to existing roads and new road construction for private property access inasmuch as building alternative route on private land would cause greater environmental damage. 6-161

The Forest Service should consider the positive impacts of the proposed rule on private property values..... 6-161

The Forest Service should purchase inholdings and acquire more land..... 6-162

The Forest Service should address current deficiencies in the evaluation and appraisal of proposed land exchanges. 6-162

The Forest Service should refrain from selling or trading public forest land for development. 6-162

The Forest Service should exempt lands exchanged under P.L. 105-335 from the proposed rule. 6-163

The Forest Service should consider the effects of increased noxious weed, disease and fire occurrences on adjacent non-National Forest System lands. 6-164

The Forest Service should address its potential financial liability for damage to non-federal lands. 6-164

The Forest Service should consider the transfer of effects of timber harvest to non-federal lands which may result from the final rule. 6-165

The Forest Service should provide the science, information, and incentive programs necessary for private, state and foreign producers to provide an increased, sustainable share of the timber and livestock production in the United States. 6-166

The Forest Service should evaluate the value of unlogged and unroaded areas as protection for private property from landslides and flood damage. 6-166

The Forest Service should address the off-site impacts of expanding wildlife populations. 6-166

Chapter 7 Social and Economic Considerations 7-1

The Forest Service should preserve roadless areas for the non-commodity values they provide. 7-2

 For escape from the urban environment. 7-3

 For spiritual renewal 7-3

 For recreational opportunities 7-4

 For scientific study 7-4

 For their educational value 7-4

The Forest Service should consider that there are few people who really want solitude or are willing to walk to find it. 7-5

The Forest Service should not dedicate 60 million roadless acres as a “spiritual and psychological resource” for nature worshippers. 7-5

Roadless Areas should not be protected as reference areas for research and teaching as Research Natural Areas are better for that purpose. 7-5

The Forest Service should explain how much acreage is needed to achieve the "good feeling" of knowing that there are roadless areas. 7-5

The Forest Service should not allow this proposal to infringe upon historic, traditional, and cultural uses. 7-6

The proposed rule should allow the Pelican Butte Ski project to continue for the positive financial impact it will have on the Klamath Tribe’s economic self-sufficiency plan. 7-7

The Forest Service should consider the impacts of this proposal on subsistence hunting and fishing. 7-7

 Restrictions on road maintenance will negatively affect subsistence access 7-8

The Forest Service should address the impacts its decisions will have on the family and family recreation. 7-8

The Forest Service should evaluate the need to conduct multi-cultural studies. 7-9

The Forest Service should explain how scenic quality is being addressed..... 7-9

The Forest Service should address the effects of insect and disease outbreaks and catastrophic fires on scenic quality. 7-10

Road building and other forest management activities should be prohibited to preserve scenic beauty and aesthetic experiences. 7-10

The Forest Service should assure that the final rule will benefit future generations..... 7-11

 Preserve for future generations 7-11

 Allow future generations to recreate 7-12

The Forest Service should reevaluate its economic analysis of the importance of forest resources to the economy..... 7-14

The Forest Service should expand its economic analysis to include a quantitative assessment of a complete range of forest resources..... 7-15

The proposed rule skews the economic analysis of reductions in timber harvest. 7-15

Protections for roadless areas should be determined on a site-by-site cost/benefit basis during forest planning. 7-15

The Forest Service should include economic data from individual counties. 7-15

The Final EIS should display the financial contribution of roaded versus unroaded recreation to local economies..... 7-15

The Forest Service should address the benefit of roadless areas to the national economy. .. 7-16

Analysis in the proposed rule should be based on sound science, not on economics or the desire to avoid litigation..... 7-16

The proposed rule should be based on sound, sustainable economics with the future in mind, not narrow interests. 7-16

The Forest Service should complete a thorough cost/benefit analysis for the proposed rule as a whole. 7-17

The Forest Service should consider the relative economic worth of recreation in relation to resource extraction..... 7-17

The Forest Service should reconsider the assertion that substituting recreation income for timber harvest can provide superior economic benefits. 7-18

The Forest Service should encourage environmental protection rather than resource extraction because it offers superior economic benefits..... 7-19

The Forest Service should better analyze and address the economic effect the proposed rule will have on the timber industry and its employees. 7-22

The Forest Service should institute more analysis of economic impacts on resource dependent communities. The proposal will result in a loss of jobs, mostly in small communities, and cause hardship for many families. 7-24

 Maintain status quo so there will not be adverse economic consequences 7-25

The Forest Service should consider the impacts on jobs indirectly related to the timber industry. 7-26

The Forest Service should recognize that the proposed rule will have minimal impacts on timber dependent communities..... 7-26

The Forest Service should not use Rural Development funding to mitigate impacts of the proposed rule..... 7-27

The argument that forest products companies need to turn to value added timber is not justified inasmuch as trees are required to produce value added products. 7-27

The Forest Service should analyze the effects of the proposed rule on timber prices and housing construction costs. 7-27

The Forest Service should address the cumulative effects of the recently proposed rulemaking initiatives on small businesses. 7-27

The Forest Service should reevaluate its analysis and projections regarding employment in the timber industry and forest dependent communities. 7-28

 Technological advancements in harvest techniques account for job loss in the timber industry 7-30

 Foreign export of timber accounts for job loss in the timber industry 7-30

 Cyclical fluctuations in the demand for forest products can account for job losses and mill closures 7-30

Timber workers and communities should adapt to a changing job market. 7-30

 Roadless areas attract a highly motivated, well-educated work force, and provide new and innovative technological and communication based jobs..... 7-32

 Unemployment is at an all time low and workers can easily relocate..... 7-32

 Tourism may occur only seasonally or at specific times of the year in some areas of the country and communities rely on year round income to survive economically 7-32

 The Forest Service should not attempt to counteract the macroeconomic forces causing most job loss in the timber industry with federal timber flow policies 7-32

 The Forest Service should help displaced timber workers and communities financially with relocation, education, employment, and/or compensation 7-32

 The suggestion that a government payment can be made to areas negatively impacted by the loss of timber harvest revenue is not acceptable..... 7-33

The Forest Service used biased methodologies to assess the impacts of the proposed rule inasmuch as it failed to include job losses created by conservation policies and politically motivated actions over the past 10 years. 7-33

The Forest Service should address the socio-economic impacts of the proposed rule on outfitters and guides working in roadless areas. 7-34

The Forest Service should consider the effects of the proposed rule on potential job loss for Forest Service employees..... 7-34

The Forest Service should consider the economic impacts of including the Tongass National Forest in the proposed rule..... 7-35

 Roadless protection would hurt southeast Alaska’s economy 7-35

 Roadless protection would benefit both southeast Alaska’s economy and the national economy..... 7-35

The Forest Service should consider that by delaying decisions on the status of the Tongass until 2004 it effectively precludes any new timber industry ventures in the Tongass. 7-36

The Forest Service should consider the effects the proposed rule will have on surface transportation options in Alaska. 7-36

The Forest Service should analyze the economic effects of the proposed rule on Alaskan railroad companies. 7-36

The Forest Service should include the Tongass National Forest in the proposed rule for the benefit of commercial fisheries and the fishing industry. 7-37

The Forest Service should eliminate subsidizes for road building and timber harvest on the Tongass. 7-37

Each timber sale in Alaska should include analysis of the resulting potential loss of tourism revenue..... 7-38

The Forest Service should balance protection of fisheries and wildlife habitat with protection of timber harvesting levels and roading on the Tongass.	7-38
The Forest Service should verify economic statistics in the DEIS.	7-39
The Forest Service should consider the negative impacts of declining federal timber revenue payments to rural counties.	7-40
The Forest Service failed to adequately evaluate the contributions to Payments In Lieu of Taxes funds from ski areas within roadless areas.	7-40
The Forest Service should consider that the purchase of private land to preserve scenic value will have little effect on local tax bases.	7-41
The Forest Service should do away with the trust fund and timber receipt programs.	7-41
The Forest Service should not support Senate Bill 1608 which would require increased timber harvest to fund county schools.	7-41
The Forest Service should reconsider the method used for funding counties from timber and grazing receipts.	7-41
The Forest Service should analyze the economics of livestock grazing on National Forests and Grasslands.	7-42
Eliminate grazing subsidies.	7-42
Curtail grazing.	7-42
Continue current grazing.	7-42
The Forest Service should consider the effect the roadless initiative will have on the coal and oil and gas industry.	7-43
The Forest Service should reevaluate the full social and economic effects of the proposed rule on present and future mineral exploration, production, and development.	7-44
The Forest Service should not delay approval of mineral operations, including exploration, surface activities, and development.	7-46
The Forest Service should assess the potential loss of mineral lease income that may result from the proposed rule.	7-47
The Forest Service and administration should employ policies and actions that provide the minerals industry with low risks associated with mineral exploration on the National Forests.	7-48
The Forest Service should address the feasibility and cost of mining inside roadless areas or in areas surrounded by roadless areas.	7-48
The Forest Service should consider the impacts of the proposed rule on energy resources that provide fuel to heat and light homes and to power industries.	7-48
The Forest Service should explain the rationale for predicted increases in mineral and natural gas production given the current and proposed policies affecting the national forests.	7-49
The Forest Service should make restitution for the investments in licensing and exploration costs that mining operations will not be allowed to recoup because of this rule.	7-49
The Forest Service should not allow mineral and/or petroleum mining because these operations will never make a net return and are harmful to the environment.	7-49
The Forest Service should address the consequences of reduction of its traditional revenue sources.	7-53
The Forest Service should include the costs of litigating this proposal in agency costs.	7-53

The Forest Service should select a more prohibitive alternative because of the savings to the agency and taxpayers due to lower implementation costs. 7-53

The Forest Service should explain how "overall agency costs are expected to remain the same" under Alternatives 2 through 4 when 60 million fewer acres would be managed. 7-54

Forest Service funding should be increased..... 7-54

The Forest Service should correct the "disconnect" between plans and the alternatives and budgets. Budgets should be presented to Congress on a forest-by-forest basis..... 7-54

The Forest Service should assure that conservation dollars are spent for that purpose..... 7-55

The Forest Service should disclose the costs of managing roads versus the costs of fire management. 7-55

The Forest Service should explain how monies collected for removing timber and slash for fire prevention purposes were spent..... 7-55

The Forest Service should update its analysis of the long-term costs of fire suppression versus costs of fuel treatment..... 7-55

The costs of fire management and regeneration should be subtracted from the value of the timber products harvested to effect that mitigation. 7-56

The Forest Service should reevaluate agency costs of fuels reduction and increased fire hazards in roadless areas. 7-56

The Forest Service should lobby Congress for funding to address the issue of thinning in fire prone areas..... 7-57

To save taxpayers' money, the Forest Service should harvest timber, not conduct prescribed burnings. 7-57

The proposed rule would increase susceptibility to catastrophic fires, which would harm the tourism industry. 7-57

The Forest Service should not claim that a reduction in harvest resulting from the prohibitions would not likely affect timber prices..... 7-57

The Forest Service should analyze the allowable sale quantity potentially generated from each roadless area..... 7-57

The Forest Service should address "below cost" timber sales..... 7-58

National forest timber should be sold "when the price is right" and not offered on a set schedule..... 7-59

The Forest Service should sever the link between agency funding and timber harvest. 7-59

The government should not be in competition with private individuals. 7-60

The Forest Service should consider that timber harvest plays a very small role in the economy. 7-60

The Forest Service should establish funding for seeding trees and restoration work..... 7-60

The Forest Service should examine the cost/benefits of revegetating, stabilizing, and maintaining logged areas. 7-60

Costs of timber sales must include Environmental Impact Statements, road building and maintenance for the life of the road, loss of recreational revenues, and costs of water purification. 7-60

The government must accept the responsibility for stopping extractive practices and find the money to pay for the damage already done to the environment..... 7-61

The General Accounting Office should perform routine inspections to keep the Forest Service “on track” and inform the public about the economic viability of Forest Service timber harvest activities..... 7-61

The Forest Service should be held monetarily accountable for their financial reporting accuracy..... 7-61

The Forest Service should eliminate subsidies for extractive industries..... 7-61

The beneficiaries of timber harvests should shoulder the major cost of road construction, maintenance, and reconstruction 7-62

Timber companies receiving subsidies should go through the official process of governmental review and oversight..... 7-62

The Forest Service should recognize that forest roads are not a subsidy to the timber companies. 7-62

The Forest Service should address costs for recreational use of National Forest System lands. 7-63

The Forest Service should encourage and subsidize the use of recycled rather than virgin fibers for paper production. 7-63

The Forest Service should analyze and disclose the amount and source of money used for the Roadless Area Conservation Proposed Rule. 7-63

To acquire property and rights-of-way..... 7-64

To offset the costs incurred due to lack of management access for wildfire and forest health 7-64

The Forest Service has been budgeted too much money..... 7-64

The Forest Service should not spend taxpayers’ money on the proposed rule. It should be spent at a local level. 7-64

The Forest Service should consider tax-based funding in order to pass this proposed rule. 7-65

The Forest Service should explain where the money will come from to fund law enforcement and manage projects created by the roadless policy. 7-65

Forest Service funding should be increased so that the agency can effectively manage and enforce existing laws..... 7-65

The Forest Service should ensure adequate, regulated access in order to mitigate the costs of the enforcement required to prevent user created roads and trails. 7-65

The Forest Service should explain whether the interim moratorium on no road building or maintenance saved money. 7-66

The environmental analysis should include, at a minimum, a detailed accounting of the purported \$8.4 billion road maintenance "backlog." 7-66

The 8.4 billion dollar backlog figure is exaggerated and often used out of context..... 7-66

The Forest Service has the funding to maintain its 380,000 mile network of roads; it just need to set its priorities straight. 7-66

The Forest Service should address the Watershed Improvement Needs Inventory backlog. 7-67

The Forest Service should assure funding for continued road maintenance. 7-67

Spend taxpayer funds on road maintenance and obliteration..... 7-67

Ask county, state or federal highway programs to maintain or accept roads..... 7-68

Lack of funding for road maintenance should not be used as an excuse to prohibit road construction or close roads..... 7-68

Where maintenance costs are prohibitive, the Forest Service should consider posting some roads as unmaintained, with travel at one’s own risk..... 7-68

The Forest Service should acknowledge that in the past road maintenance was provided by timber sale purchasers..... 7-68

The Forest Service should correct its estimate of the savings from reduced road maintenance to reflect recent expenditures on roads. 7-69

The Forest Service should address the relation between its lack of funding for road maintenance and continued funding for international forestry programs..... 7-69

The Forest Service should disclose the funds spent on road obliteration. 7-69

Closing roads or restricting motorized access would negatively impact the economy. 7-69

The Forest Service should maintain trails to avoid hurting the economy..... 7-70

If the Forest Service lacks funding, they should allow roads to decommission naturally, or by gating or placing ditches across them..... 7-70

The Forest Service should consider the negative impacts of roadless area designations on usable Forest Service roads and the Purchaser Road Credit Program. 7-70

The Forest Service should not spend funds building roads into all roadless areas just to make it easier to fight wildfires. 7-70

The Forest Service should explain who finances the design and planning of logging roads..... 7-70

Any funds saved by ceasing maintenance of roads should be used for other management activities. 7-70

 For alleviating the environmental impacts of roads 7-71

 For facilities upkeep..... 7-71

 For upgrading existing four-wheel drive roads and expanding facilities for the handicapped..... 7-71

The Forest Service should provide a comparison between the Forest Service’s requests for road maintenance funding and congressional appropriations for road maintenance over the past five years. 7-71

Chief Dombeck should ask for funds to adequately support the Forest Service’s recreation and trails programs..... 7-71

There should be increased funding for support of recreational goals and uses of roadless areas. 7-71

The Forest Service should consider the benefits from fees and volunteer services contributed by users to maintain roads and trails..... 7-72

Funding from the off-highway vehicle community may be terminated due to agency misuse of those funds..... 7-72

The formula for determining the share of the gasoline tax for off-highway vehicle recreation should be audited due to the adverse impacts caused by motorized users..... 7-72

The Forest Service should not make the public purchase permits or charge user fees to use public lands..... 7-73

 Discontinue the trail park fee demonstration program..... 7-73

 Discontinue the Adventure Pass program 7-73

The Forest Service should incorporate a fee or permit system for using certain areas of public lands..... 7-73

 Charge fees based on the amount of damage a particular activity causes..... 7-74

 Impose a \$15.25 fee on bicycles and cross-country skis..... 7-74

 Expand the trailhead permit system 7-74

The Forest Service should address the “willingness to pay” principle. 7-74

Chapter 8 Cumulative Effects Analysis 8-1

The Forest Service should elucidate the relationship between the proposed rule and concurrent rulemaking initiatives. 8-3

- Relationship to the Road Management Rule..... 8-3
- Relationship to forest planning Regulation revisions 8-3
- Relationship to the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project 8-5
- Relationship to local Habitat Conservation Plans 8-5
- Relationship to multiple other initiatives 8-5
- Specific forest plans 8-6

The Forest Service should complete the concurrent national rulemakings in a logical sequence. 8-6

- By adopting the Planning Rules first 8-7
- Public Concern: By waiting until terms and definitions in the Transportation Policy are adopted..... 8-7

The Forest Service should integrate the proposed Roadless Area Conservation Rule with other concurrent rulemaking initiatives. 8-8

- With the Transportation Policy 8-8
- With the Planning Rules..... 8-8
- With multiple other rules 8-8

The Forest Service should evaluate the current forest plan direction for each roadless area. 8-9

The Forest Service should analyze the cumulative effect of the proposed rule with concurrent proposed rulemaking initiatives and current environmental legislation. 8-10

- With the road management rule 8-10
- With the Unified Federal Policy for Ensuring a Watershed Approach to Federal Land and Resource Management..... 8-10
- With both concurrent national rulemakings 8-10
- With multiple other initiatives 8-11
- With existing environmental legislation 8-11
- With past withdrawals of National Forest System lands for environmental protection..... 8-11

The Forest Service should address the site specific cumulative effects of concurrent rulemaking initiatives on individual national forests. 8-12

The Forest Service should prepare a meaningful cumulative effects analysis for the Final EIS. 8-12

- Distinguish direct and indirect effects from cumulative effects..... 8-13
- Comparison of the alternatives..... 8-13

The Forest Service should avoid incorporating cumulative effects into baseline data. 8-13

Chapter 9 Technical and Editorial Concerns..... 9-1

The Forest Service should make its Environmental Impact Statements shorter and less complicated..... 9-1

The Forest Service should eliminate repetition in the Draft EIS..... 9-1

The Forest Service should use clear and honest language..... 9-1

The proposed rule is vague and short on reasoning..... 9-2

The Forest Service should clearly distinguish the difference between conservation and preservation..... 9-2

The Forest Service should clarify the use of the phrase, “the roaded portion of the inventoried roadless area.”..... 9-2

The Forest Service should clarify the statement in the booklet of questions and answers that this proposal would “prohibit construction and reconstruction in most inventoried roadless areas.”..... 9-3

The Forest Service should clarify the phrasing of the proposed rule’s alternatives..... 9-3

The Forest Service should remove the word “whether” from all the alternatives in the Draft EIS..... 9-3

The Forest Service should evaluate the use of the word “natural” in describing roadless areas..... 9-3

The Forest Service should address contradictions in the cumulative effects analysis..... 9-4

The Forest Service should address contradictions in the Draft EIS regarding the evaluation of roadless characteristics and values..... 9-4

The Forest Service should reconcile the differences between the issues identified in the abstract and those cited throughout the rest of the Draft EIS..... 9-5

The Forest Service should clarify the difference between responses and comments..... 9-5

The Forest Service should reconcile contradictory statements in the proposed rule regarding the right to build mining exploration roads..... 9-5

The Forest Service should reword the Civil Justice Reform Act section of the Draft EIS..... 9-5

The Forest Service should address inconsistent estimates of road construction in the Draft EIS..... 9-5

 Five year estimates for road construction and reconstruction in inventoried roadless areas 9-5

The Forest Service should clarify the discrepancy between the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project’s estimate of impaired streams and that contained in the Draft EIS..... 9-6

The effects analysis in the Draft EIS should specify what types of uses will be prohibited in uninventoried roadless areas and other unroaded areas..... 9-6

The Forest Service should specify the referent of the word “treaty” in the Draft EIS..... 9-6

The Forest Service should identify the controversial issues mentioned in the Draft EIS..... 9-7

The Forest Service should specifically identify the “threats to wilderness character” mentioned on page 3-138 of the Draft EIS..... 9-7

The Forest Service should identify A-7 special designations..... 9-7

The Forest Service should delete undefined phrases on page S-14 of the Draft EIS..... 9-7

The Interdisciplinary Team should consider addressing the effects of the proposed rule on subcategories of the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum..... 9-7

The terms “classified” and “unclassified” roads should be changed to “maintained” and “unmaintained.” 9-8

The Forest Service should replace the phrase “ensure consideration” with “ensure compliance with the rule” in item 2 of the proposed rule..... 9-8

The Forest Service should replace the wording of “most restrictive” with “most protective” when describing Alternative 4..... 9-8

The Forest Service should change the statement “the proposed action would prohibit road construction and reconstruction in inventoried roadless areas” to “prohibit road construction and reconstruction in unroaded portions of inventoried roadless areas” in the Draft EIS. 9-8

The Forest Service should change the statement “no roads or trails would be closed because of the prohibitions” to “no classified roads would be closed because of these prohibitions” on page S-1 of the Draft EIS..... 9-8

The Forest Service should change the phrase “of considerable length” to “of meaningful length” in Section 294.13(B)(2) of the proposed rule..... 9-8

The Forest Service should combine the discussions of timber harvest on pages 3-112 and 3-182. 9-9

The Forest Service should use the terms “scheduled” and “unscheduled” harvest when discussing the alternatives..... 9-9

The Forest Service should modify the language of 36 CFR..... 9-9

 294.12..... 9-9

 294.13..... 9-9

The Forest Service should address the grammar and clarity of paragraph 294.13(a) in the Draft EIS. 9-10

The Forest Service should use a hierarchical numbering system for the pages of Chapter 3..... 9-10

The Final EIS should replace the term “dispersed recreation” with the more appropriate term “recreation setting.” 9-10

The Forest Service should clarify page S-36 of the Draft EIS. 9-10

The Forest Service should change “eliminating” to “eliminate” in the last paragraph of page 3-31..... 9-11

The Forest Service should clarify the heading of table 3-9 on page 3-51. 9-11

The Forest Service should reword “The largest would increase occurs in...” in the third paragraph of page 3-53. 9-11

The Forest Service should reword “precise estimates” on page 3-57 of the Draft EIS. 9-11

The Forest Service should use more than one example of beneficial stewardship activities on page 3-66 of the Draft EIS..... 9-11

The Forest Service should address typographical errors in paragraph two of page 3-137. 9-11

The forest service should reword the first sentence of paragraph five on page 3-141. 9-11

The Forest Service should reword or insert modifiers to the last sentence of the last paragraph on page 3-217..... 9-12

The Forest Service should add “harvest” to the end of “...which do not prohibit roading and timber” on page 3-325. 9-12

The Forest Service should correct the spelling of “old growth dependant” on page 3-236..... 9-12

The Forest service should replace “certainty” with “certainly” on page 3-237..... 9-12

The Forest Service should correct Table 3-19 with regard to fire statistics. 9-12

The Forest Service should add “recreation stock use” to the list of dispersed recreation activities. 9-12

The Forest Service should withdraw Appendix A from the Draft EIS. 9-12

The Forest Service should reevaluate and clarify its definition of a road. 9-14

 The definition is misleading 9-15

 Clarify the 50-inch rule 9-15

The Forest Service should clarify the term “existing road.” 9-15

The Forest Service has changed the definition of the term “road” from the one contained in the Wilderness Act. 9-15

The Forest Service should reassess the use of the term “Forest Service Roads.” 9-16

The Forest Service should recognize that any travelway used by horses, bikes, vehicles, or pedestrians is a “road.” 9-16

The Forest Service should define “trail.” 9-16

The Forest Service should clarify the distinction between “roads” and “trails.” 9-16

The definition of a road should be changed from 50-inches wide to 72-inches wide so that popular trails will not be decommissioned. 9-16

The Forest Service should clarify various road levels and trail designations. 9-16

The Forest Service should consider snowmobile trails as winter “roads.” 9-17

The Forest Service should clarify the definition of “other vehicle travelways.” 9-17

The Forest Service should clarify the definition of the classification “roadless.” 9-17

 The definition is misleading 9-17

 Inventoried roadless areas, unroaded areas, and classified roads 9-17

The Forest Service should not use the term “roadless” for areas with existing roads. 9-18

The Forest Service should address conflicts between the proposed definition of roadless and the guidelines used for previous inventories. 9-18

The Forest Service definition of roads and roadless should comply with state and county definitions. 9-18

The Forest Service should set clear boundary definitions for inventoried roadless areas. 9-18

The Forest Service should define “irreversible loss of roadless character.” 9-18

The Forest Service should modify its definition of inventoried roadless areas in Section 294.11 of the proposed rule. 9-19

The Forest Service should define the terms “unroaded” and “other unroaded areas.” 9-19

 The definition will increase litigation costs 9-20

The Forest Service should clarify the definition of the term “classified road.” 9-20

The Forest Service should determine whether the redefinition of many existing recreational roads and trails as “unclassified” would result in the closure of campgrounds and other recreational facilities. 9-20

The Forest Service should reevaluate its definition of “reconstruction.” 9-20

The Forest Service should clarify the distinction between “construction” and “reconstruction.” 9-21

The Forest Service should clearly define “new” road construction. 9-21

The Forest Service should clarify the difference between rebuilding a road and road reconstruction. 9-21

The Forest Service should clarify the definitions of “reconstruction” and “maintenance.” 9-21

The Forest Service should clarify the definition of the term “maintenance.” 9-21

The term “decommission” should be defined in the document. 9-21

The Forest Service should define the term “valid” regarding access to public or private land within roadless areas. 9-22

The Forest Service should clarify the definition of “valid existing rights.” 9-23

The Forest Service should modify its definition of “existing lease” in the Final EIS. 9-23

The Forest Service should define what “essential management” means in terms of exceptions to the roadless areas. 9-23

The Forest Service should define the term “stewardship.” 9-23

The Forest Service should define the term “impairment” as it is used to describe watersheds. 9-23

The Forest Service should define the term “huge.” 9-23

The Forest Service should define threatened, endangered, proposed and sensitive species. 9-24

The Forest Service should include recreational and timber harvest access in the definition of “vital access.” 9-24

The Forest Service should define the word “protect.” 9-24

The Forest Service should include people who use paper or live in wooden houses in the definition of “stakeholders” on page S-39 of the Draft EIS. 9-24

The Draft EIS should include all definitions of small entities contained in the Regulatory Flexibility Act. 9-25

The Forest Service should clarify the definition of user vehicles. 9-25

 Standard passenger vehicle 9-25

 Off-road/off-highway vehicle 9-25

The Forest Service should define the term “non-attainment” in the Draft EIS. 9-26

The Forest Service should define the terms “even-aged,” “shelterwood,” and “seed tree.” 9-26

The Forest Service should include “recreation” in the glossary of the Draft EIS. 9-26

The Forest Service should clearly define “potentially isolated” areas. 9-26

The Forest Service should define all acronyms used in the Draft EIS. 9-26

The Forest Service should remove the term “wildland” from the Draft EIS. 9-27

The Forest Service should clarify what constitutes “imminent”. 9-27

The Forest Service should make its maps clear and accurate. 9-28

 Correct inaccuracies of maps on the web. 9-29

The maps included with the Draft EIS should provide sufficient detail. 9-29

 Update maps to include uninventoried unroaded areas and wilderness areas. 9-29

 Increase scale 9-30

The Forest Service should create accurate, up-to-date maps and acreage calculations of the unroaded portions of inventoried roadless areas. 9-30

The Forest Service should map the location and size of every roadless and unroaded area before making any rules. 9-30

The Forest Service should reconcile the large discrepancy in the number of acres of inventoried roadless areas on the Intermountain Region web site with that on national forest maps. 9-31

The Forest Service should provide accurate maps of the Inyo National Forest in Volume 2 of the Draft EIS. 9-31

The Forest Service should clarify the table of contents for Volume 2 of the Draft EIS. 9-31

The Forest Service should clarify whether the farthest-north portion of the Badger-Two
Medicine area of the Lewis and Clark National Forest is considered an “inventoried
roadless area” on the roadless Draft EIS map..... 9-31

The Forest Service should improve the shading of Figure 3-17 on page 3-50
of the Draft EIS..... 9-31

The Forest Service should correct the graphics in the Draft EIS..... 9-31

The Forest Service should provide a better representation of mining permissive tracts
in the Draft EIS maps..... 9-31

The tables in the Draft EIS should be scientifically based. 9-32

The Forest Service should address inaccuracies in tables of the Draft EIS..... 9-32

The Forest Service should clarify the data presented in Table 3-20 of the Draft EIS. 9-32

The Forest Service should clarify discrepancies between Table 2-2 and page 3-76
of the Draft EIS..... 9-32

The Forest Service should address the data presented in Appendix B. 9-33