



# National Association of Wheat Growers

415 Second Street, NE ? Suite 300 ? Washington, DC 20002 ? Phone: 202-547-7800 ? Fax:202-546-2638

## NAWG Report Card – Senate

June 24, 2002

Vote No. 30 : Senate version Farm Bill  
 Vote No. 103: Farm Bill conference Report  
 Vote No. 110: Motion to table Dayton-Craig Amendment to TPA  
 Vote No. 130: TPA  
 Vote No. 151: Estate Tax Repeal

	Percent Agreed:	When Voting	All Votes	Agreed/ Cast	CQ Vote Number: <u>30</u> <u>103</u> <u>110</u> <u>130</u> <u>151</u>				
					Year: 2002	2002	2002	2002	2002
					NAWG Position:** Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea
Alabama	<u>Sessions, J. (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	-	+
	<u>Shelby (R)</u>	75%	60%	3 / 4	+	+	-	X	+
Alaska	<u>Murkowski (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
	<u>Stevens (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
Arizona	<u>Kyl (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
	<u>McCain (R)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	-	+	+	-
Arkansas	<u>Hutchinson, T. (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
	<u>Lincoln (D)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
California	<u>Boxer (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
	<u>Feinstein (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
Colorado	<u>Allard (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
	<u>Campbell, B. (R)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	+	-	-	+
Connecticut	<u>Dodd (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
	<u>Lieberman (D)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	+	+	+	+	-
Delaware	<u>Biden (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
	<u>Carper (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	-	-	+	-
Florida	<u>Graham, B. (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	-	-	+	-
	<u>Nelson, Bill (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	-	-	+	+



New Jersey	<u>Gregg (R)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	-	+	-	+
	<u>Smith, R.C. (R)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	-	-	+	+
New Mexico	<u>Corzine (D)</u>	0%	0%	0 / 5	-	-	-	-	-
	<u>Torricelli (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
New York	<u>Bingaman (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	-	-	+	-
	<u>Domenici (R)</u>	75%	60%	3 / 4	X	-	+	+	+
North Carolina	<u>Clinton (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
	<u>Schumer (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
North Dakota	<u>Edwards, J. (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
	<u>Helms (R)</u>	25%	20%	1 / 4	-	-	-	X	+
Ohio	<u>Conrad (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
	<u>Dorgan (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
Oklahoma	<u>DeWine (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
	<u>Voinovich (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
Oregon	<u>Inhofe (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
	<u>Nickles (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
Pennsylvania	<u>Smith, G. (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	+	-	+	+
	<u>Wyden (D)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	+	+	-	+	+
Rhode Island	<u>Santorum (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
	<u>Specter (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	-	-	+	+
South Carolina	<u>Chafee (R)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	-	+	+	-
	<u>Reed, J. (D)</u>	20%	20%	1 / 5	+	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	<u>Hollings (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
	<u>Thurmond, S. (R)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	+	-	-	+
Tennessee	<u>Daschle (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
	<u>Johnson, Tim (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
Texas	<u>Frist (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
	<u>Thompson, F. (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
Utah	<u>Gramm, P. (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
	<u>Hutchison, K. (R)</u>	80%	80%	4 / 5	-	+	+	+	+
Vermont	<u>Bennett (R)</u>	75%	60%	3 / 4	X	-	+	+	+
	<u>Hatch (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+
Virginia	<u>Jeffords (I)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
	<u>Leahy (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
Washington	<u>Allen, G. (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	-	-	+	+
	<u>Warner (R)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	-	-	+	+
West Virginia	<u>Cantwell (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
	<u>Murray (D)</u>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
	<u>Byrd (D)</u>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-

Wisconsin	<b><u>Rockefeller (D)</u></b>	40%	40%	2 / 5	+	+	-	-	-
	<b><u>Feingold (D)</u></b>	20%	20%	1 / 5	+	-	-	-	-
	<b><u>Kohl (D)</u></b>	60%	60%	3 / 5	+	+	-	+	-
Wyoming	<b><u>Enzi (R)</u></b>	40%	40%	2 / 5	-	-	-	+	+
	<b><u>Thomas, C. (R)</u></b>	60%	60%	3 / 5	-	-	+	+	+

#### Vote Key

+	Member's position agrees with base position	-	Member's position disagrees with base position
x	Member did not cast a yea or nay vote	I	Member was ineligible to vote
<b>Base Position Key</b>			
Y	In support of the vote	N	In Opposition to the vote
+(Y)	Member "announced for" and for the purpose of comparison is a "Yea" vote.	-(N)	Member "announced against" and for the purpose of comparison is a "Nay" vote.
P	Member voted "present", which is not taken into account in the comparison.	C	Member voted "present" to avoid any conflict of interest, which is not taken into account in the comparison.
?	Member did not vote or otherwise make position known, which is not taken into account in the comparison.	I	Member was ineligible or unable to vote at this time, which is not taken into account in the comparison.

\* This report does not display members who were ineligible to vote on all of the votes in your list.

\*\* To use a member's votes as the base positions for a comparison, click on that member's name.

[View Percents-Only Comparison Report](#)

### SENATE VOTE 30 - HR2646: Agriculture Overhaul - Passage

February 13, 2002 - Passage of the bill that would reauthorize federal agriculture programs for five years, including a dairy provision that would authorize \$2 billion in direct federal subsidies to milk producers. It also would re-establish programs that supply payments to farmers when commodity prices fall below a specified level.

Passed 58-40: R 9-38; D 48-2 (ND 40-1, SD 8-1); I 1-0.

**Note:** Before passage, the Senate struck all after the enacting clause, and inserted the text of S 1731, as amended, into the bill.

### SENATE VOTE 103 - HR2646: Agriculture Overhaul - Conference Report

May 08, 2002 - Adoption of the conference report on the bill that would reauthorize federal agriculture programs for six years. The agreement would re-establish programs that supply payments to farmers when commodity prices fall below a specified level. It would raise mandatory and direct farm program spending by \$73.5 billion over 10 years, provide \$243 billion for food stamps and restore benefits for legal immigrants, and increase conservation spending to \$17.1 billion. After two years, the agreement would require certain goods to be labeled by country origin. It would lower the total limit on payments to individual farmers to \$360,000 and authorize a new \$1 billion dairy program for three and a half years.

Adopted (thus cleared for the president) 64-35: R 20-28; D 43-7 (ND 36-5, SD 7-2); I 1-0.

**SENATE VOTE 110 - HR3009: Andean Trade - Fast-Track Procedures**

May 14, 2002 - Reid, D-Nev., motion to table (kill) the Dayton, D-Minn., amendment to the Baucus substitute amendment. The Dayton amendment would establish a point of order in the Senate against any portion of trade agreements that would modify U.S. trade remedy laws. A point of order could be waived with a majority vote margin. If the point of order is sustained, the portion of the bill would proceed under normal legislative procedure, while the remainder of the agreement would proceed under the expedited procedures set up under fast track. The substitute amendment would extend duty-free status to certain products from Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru, renew the president's fast-track authority and reauthorize and expand a program to provide retraining and relocation assistance to U.S. workers hurt by trade agreements. It also would create a refundable 70 percent tax credit for health insurance costs for displaced workers.

Motion rejected 38-61: R 32-16; D 6-44 (ND 2-39, SD 4-5); I 0-1.

**Note:** Subsequently, the Dayton amendment was adopted by voice vote.

---

**SENATE VOTE 130 - HR3009: Andean Trade - Passage**

May 23, 2002 - Passage of the bill that would extend duty-free status to certain products from Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru, renew the president's fast-track authority and reauthorize and expand a program to provide retraining and relocation assistance to U.S. workers hurt by trade agreements. It also would create a refundable 70 percent tax credit for health insurance costs for displaced workers, and authorize a five-year extension of the Generalized System of Preferences.

Passed 66-30: R 41-5; D 24-25 (ND 16-24, SD 8-1); I 1-0.

**Note:** A "yea" was a vote in support of the president's position. Before passage, the Senate adopted the Baucus, D-Mont., substitute amendment, as amended, by voice vote.

---

**SENATE VOTE 151 - HR8: Estate Tax - Permanent Repeal**

June 12, 2002 - Gramm, R-Texas, motion to waive the Budget Act with respect to the Conrad, D-N.D., point of order against the Gramm amendment that would permanently extend the repeal of the estate tax contained in last year's \$1.35 trillion tax cut law.

Motion rejected 54-44: R 45-2; D 9-41 (ND 4-37, SD 5-4); I 0-1.

**Note:** A three-fifths majority vote (60) of the total Senate is required to waive the Budget Act. (Subsequently the point of order was sustained and the amendment fell.) A "yea" was a vote in support of the president's position.