PROFESSION SYMPOSIUM

Beyond the Ivory Tower: Political Science Careers Outside Academia

Introduction

Carl Klarner, klarnerpolitics.com

he pieces in this symposium all feature personal narratives from people with doctorates in political science who are not currently professors. One goal is to offer career advice to graduate students or those who are still in a position to change course in their careers. However, the pieces taken together give broad insights into a number of issues, such as how to best prepare political science doctoral students for the job market, and which skills are useful in a political science career generally. Two broad themes run through the essays—how preferences that vary across individuals relate to career satisfaction and which skills are beneficial for various careers "outside of academia."

The word "academia" has both expansive and narrow meanings. "Academia" as used in the title of the symposium refers narrowly to having a job as a professor in an institution of higher learning. But being an "academic" can also mean that someone is actively involved in the advancement of a particular field. At least some of the participants in this symposium are academics in this sense, and sometimes explicitly say so.

To make the advice in this symposium as broadly applicable as possible, a diversity of career experiences were selected. Two of us-myself and Adolf Gundersen-chose to leave our positions as professors after receiving tenure. The rest went straight from graduate school to the "real world," although several went on the job market. By happenstance, people who left academia about half way through their pre-tenure years, a fairly common phenomena, are not represented. Time spent in the "real world" after obtaining a PhD or leaving academia also varies from almost none (Clark and Klarner) to over 15 years (Gundersen and Seaver). Loose job categories for the participants are as follows-media (Benz and Drutman), consultant (Clark and Klarner), non-profit (Gundersen), think tank (Kosar), private sector (Lau/Yohai), government (Seaver) and political party (Therriault). Care was also made to pick representatives from all major subfields: political theory (Gundersen), public policy (Kosar), political psychology (Clark), and comparative/international relations (Lau/ Yohai and Seaver), although students of American politics are over-represented (Benz, Drutman, Jackson, Klarner and Therriault). "Methods heavy" training is also evident (Benz, Jackson, Lau/Yohai, and Therriault). Care was taken to have an adequate representation of female authors. In some important ways, women tend to have different preferences than men when it comes to work–life considerations.¹

Throughout the years, many articles have been written in *PS* about job placement and particular types of jobs outside of academia that are well suited for political scientists, and are too numerous to cite. The emphasis in this symposium on personal stories supplements this work. One advantage of a personal narrative is that it may be more memorable than facts and figures, or may resonate with the experience of the reader.

Many of the authors also remark that their initial salaries just coming out of graduate school were higher than they would have received as professors. This is consistent with research on the subject. American Association of University Professor data indicate that university professors—even full professors—are paid less than those in comparable jobs with PhDs in the same academic subject area who work outside of academia.²

Many of the articles in this symposium mention that many political science graduate programs could do more to train graduate students for non-academic jobs. Clark's piece even mentions that it is unwise to mention that you are not planning on being a professor when in graduate school, which is a widely understood perception among graduate students. Jackson refers to the contempt that some political scientists have for political science PhDs who have non-academic jobs.

It is easy to see why graduate programs in political science would be geared towards preparing graduate students to be professors—faculty benefit the most professionally if their students become academics, which contributes to their professional network, with benefits for publishing, awards, grants, etc. This suggests that the way to re-orient political science graduate programs is much like anything else—change the incentives.

That said, many academics are closely involved in "everyday politics," with therefore different incentives. But if a

Profession Symposium: Careers Outside Academia

market for talent is national, it is going to have to be a small niche for faculty connections to facilitate job placement for graduate students. Campaign consulting and data driven journalism are good candidates for such markets, but other potential professions for political science PhDs may hire from too many fields for professors to have enough contacts. eight political science PhD programs in terms of placement at a PhD granting institution obtained tenure track positions in PhD granting departments. The rest either went outside academia, or went to a non-PhD granting institution. Placement rates in other departments are often far lower. Furthermore, faculty have an incentive to encourage these unrealistic

Many of the articles in this symposium mention that many political science graduate programs could do more to train graduate students for non-academic jobs.

Academia is also changing in ways that are changing incentives. As grant funding from the government becomes harder to obtain, professors are increasingly looking to private entities to fund their endeavors. But looking away from universities and government for resources constitutes a threat to the fundamental mission of publicly funded research: to empower a small class of people to conduct research, some of which might be unpopular, for the benefit of society.³ The sentiment that graduate programs should be more outward looking may have unanticipated negative consequences.

Another reaction to the notion that political science programs do not train their PhDs adequately enough for the "real world" is that a liberal arts education gives people the tools to educate themselves. As long as they are taught how to take in, integrate, critically evaluate, and communicate information, they can apply these capacities to many situations. There are specific skills all political science PhD programs should teach—statistics, for example—but these are being taught now.

Many symposium participants went on the job market to become academics, and some even mention that they were overconfident in their prospects. But many PhDs in political science are either not placed in a PhD granting institution, or a job outside of academia. Masuoka, Grofman, and Feld (2007) found that only 32% of the PhDs from one of the top expectations, again to further their professional networks. This means that political science graduate students should think carefully about contingency plans beyond being a professor, if being a professor is their primary goal.

Last, most participants were careful to mention people they worked with in graduate school and how positive their experiences were—and obviously none singled out specific individuals for negative comments. But I instructed the authors to delete all references to specific individuals for brevity, so information with broad applicability would be focused on, and so none of them would be faulted for crimes of omission.

NOTES

- See http://www.aaup.org/issues/women-academic-profession, accessed January 26, 2016, for resources pertaining to this topic.
- 2. Table C of http://www.aaup.org/reports-publications/2014-15salarysurvey, accessed October 15, 2015.
- 3. See Slaughter and Rhodes (2009) and related work for a discussion of these issues.

REFERENCES

- Masuoka, Natalie, Bernard Grofman, and Scott L. Feld. 2007. "The Production and Placement of Political Science PhDs." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 40 (2): 361–66.
- Slaughter, Sheila and Gary Rhodes. 2009. Academic Capitalism and the New Economy: Markets, State, and Higher Education. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.

SYMPOSIUM CONTRIBUTORS

Jennifer K. Benz is a Principal Research Scientist and Deputy Director of The Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research where her research focuses the connection between public policy and citizen engagement. With training and experience in political science, social psychology, and public health, Benz's research uses an interdisciplinary approach in both theory and method with studies that complement survey research with qualitative and experimental methods. Her research has been published in the academic and popular press from outlets ranging from Georgetown University Press and Health Affairs to Newsweek and NPR. She can be reached at benz-jennifer@norc.org.

Chelsea Clark is the Founder and President of Chelsea Clark Consulting, LLC, a relationship research firm located in Carmel, IN. Chelsea is an innovative, reliable, detail-oriented researcher. She has expertise in the areas of study design, methodology, and data analysis, as well as experience in the fields of human behavior and psychology, interpersonal relationships, and market research. Clark enjoys observing and analyzing human behavior. She uses her research skills to discover trends and make recommendations in line with consumer expectations. Her business assists corporate, non-profit, and academic leaders achieve their research-related objectives and solve complex social scientific questions by providing excellent consulting services in all facets of the research design and analysis process. She can be reached at chelseajclark86@gmail.com.

Lee Drutman is a senior fellow in the program on political reform at New America. He is the author of The Business of America is Lobbying (Oxford University Press, 2015). He writes regularly for Polyarchy, a Vox.com blog. Drutman also teaches in the Center for Advanced Governmental Studies at The John Hopkins University. Prior to coming to New America, Drutman was a senior fellow at the Sunlight Foundation. He has also worked in the US Senate and at the Brookings Institution. He holds a PhD in political science from the University of California, Berkeley and a BA from Brown University. He can be reached at Idrutman@gmail.com.

Adolf G. Gundersen is Research Director of the Interactivity Foundation (IF), whose mission is to promote and enhance exploratory civic discussion. Over the past 25 years he has written widely on democratic deliberation and a variety of policy issues. His latest book, co-authored with IF colleague Sue Goodney Lea, is Let's Talk Politics: Restoring Civility Through Exploratory Discussion. *He can be reached at adolfgundersen@gmail.com.*

Natalie M. Jackson is the senior polling editor at The Huffington Post, coordinating the Pollster section of the site. Her primary focus is on polling coverage and methodology, statistical methods, and elections forecasting. She has a PhD in political science from the University of Oklahoma. Prior to joining Huffington Post, she worked as a survey consultant while a postdoctoral associate at Duke University and as senior analyst at the Marist College Institute for Public Opinion. She can be reached at natalie. jackson@huffingtonpost.com

Carl Klarner is guest editor of this symposium and an academic and political consultant at klarnerpolitics. com. He sells state legislative election datasets, and helps academics compile and clean data in their own research areas. He authors reports on timely political topics such as the Evenwel v Abbott case, and has also been hired as an expert witness. He has made election forecasts every two years since 2006, and is the only person to publicly make quantitatively based forecasts of state legislative elections, which he did in 2010 and 2014. Before becoming a consultant, he was an Associate Professor at Indiana State University. He has authored 15 peer reviewed publications, including two in the Journal of Politics. He can be reached at Carl.Klarner@gmail.com.

Kevin R. Kosar is the director of the governance project at the R Street Institute, a think tank in Washington,

DC. From 2003 to 2014, he was an analyst and manager at the Library of Congress' Congressional Research Service. Kosar has published on various subjects, including education policy, regulation, and Congress. He can be reached at kkosar@rstreet.org.

Olivia Lau is a senior analyst at Google, Inc., modelling user growth and retention for Google Search. Prior to Google, she worked on biostatistics and bioinformatics policy at the US Food and Drug Administration. She participates extensively in the R open-source community, with publications in the Journal of Statistical Software, Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, and R News. Lau holds a PhD in Political Science and Master's in Statistics from Harvard University. She can be reached at olau@google.com.

Brenda Seaver is a senior analyst at the Central Intelligence Agency. During her 15-year career, she has covered accounts on Latin America and East Asia. Seaver has served as a national security fellow at the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars (2014-15), where she published articles in The National Interest and The Huffington Post on the global middle class and global income inequality. She has also worked as a Latin American regional analyst at the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research (2010-12) and did a rotation to the CIA's Red Cell (2012-14), a unit dedicated to "out-of-thebox" analysis. Prior to joining the CIA, Seaver was a lecturer at the University of California, Irvine, where she taught courses on international relations and published journal articles on Lebanon's democracy, American foreign policy-making, and international environmental cooperation. She can be reached at brenda.seaver@gmail.com.

Andrew Therriault is the Director of Data Science for the Democratic National Committee, where he leads a team building voter targeting models and other analytic tools used by thousands of Democratic campaigns. Focusing on American politics and political methodology, he completed his doctorate at New York University in 2011 and a postdoctoral fellowship at Vanderbilt in 2012. Therriault's dissertation explored the role of policy issues in modern elections, and he continues to work on academic research as time allows. His most recent article, "Whose Issue Is It Anyway? A New Look at the Meaning and Measurement of Issue Ownership," was published in the British Journal of Political Science in 2015. He can be reached at andrew.therriault@ gmail.com.

Ian Yohai is a senior data scientist and manager at Netflix, Inc. His team is responsible for experimentation and statistical modeling in the areas of customer acquisition, payments, and messaging. Prior to Netflix, he was a team lead at Aptima, Inc, where he focused on social analytics in the defense research and development space. He holds a PhD in Political Science from Harvard University. He can be reached at iyohai@netflix.com.